IN RE: GSA BMO INDUSTRY DAY PHILADELPHIA

William J. Green Federal Building
600 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Tuesday, March 31, 2015
8:30 a.m.

REPORTER: Vicki Nunan, Notary Public

1 MARY SNODDERLY: Good morning, everybody. 2 don't know if our mic is working or not. But we'll 3 talk loud, if not. I just want to tell everybody we're gonna give it a few more minutes to make sure 5 everybody gets into the building. We'll have plenty of time this morning to get through the materials, so 6 7 just kind of -- we'll get started here in just a few 8 minutes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning, everybody. That sounds better, doesn't it? We got the volume turned up. Okay. may have a few stragglers come in, but we're gonna go ahead and get started. My name is Mary Snodderly. I'm going to be your facilitator this morning as we go through this. We have quite a few people, and I'm gonna introduce some folks to you. Right up front, I'm gonna let you know we have a court reporter right up front here who is going to be transcribing the session for us, and we will be posting the notes and everything to our Interact site. So just in case you think you might miss something and for those people who couldn't attend, we're going to include that, but I just want you to know that there is a court reporter. So when we -- and I'll remind you again. But when we get to the end for the questions and the answers, we are going to ask you to state your name

- and your company so that we make sure that we get 2 everything down.
- Okay. Before we get started, I just would
- like to kind of introduce our GSA team real quick

- 5 I'm going to start with Eric, who's kind of in
- the middle. If Eric would kind of wave there, he's
- gonna be running our slides for us. Eric is our
- project manager on the BMO team. And then over here
- 9 to your left, my right, we have Walter Eckbreth, who
- 10 is with -- and you're gonna be hearing from Walter a
- 11 little bit later. He is from our program management
- office for the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative, 12
- FSSI, and then we have Patrick Mazzei. Patrick is our 13
- 14 contract specialist. And then kind of just going down
- 15 the line here, we have Janet -- I started to say
- 16 We have Janet Schweer. Janet just got Haynes.
- 17 married. So if I trip over her name a little bit, my
- 18 apologies on that, but Janet is our manager.
- 19 over the whole BMO project. Next to her, we have
- 20 Josilyn Reed. Josilyn is our contracting officer.
- 21 And next to Josilyn, we have Mary Ruwwe. And I'll be
- 22 introducing Mary a little bit later, but she is our
- 23 Regional Commissioner from the Heartland Regional, so
- 24 I'll introduce Mary in just a little bit.
- 25 Before we get started, just kind of a few

- things. You may have been told as you came in when
- 2 you go out and to your right, you'll find a cafeteria.
- 3 You'll also find the bathrooms. And we will be taking
- a couple breaks 'cause we're here for about four
- 5 hours, so we'll try to give you some breaks. Also,
- 6 please, please put your phones on silent or
- 7 vibrate. There is when you go out and if you go left,
- 8 there's kind of a seating area, and we were told you
- 9 get pretty good cell reception. So if you do need to
- take a call, if you could step out, that would be
- 11 great.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eric, if you go to the next slide, let's just for a minute here on our agenda. That's kind of hard to see. Mary's going to give some opening comments here in a few minutes. Walter's gonna talk about an FSSI overview. And again, FSSI, Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative. We will try to define all these acronyms. You know, we're the government. We love to have our acronyms, so we'll try to define those as we go through. Then I'm gonna get up and talk a little bit about the BMO, just kind of give you a broad overview. Janet then is gonna get up and talk about

the Small Business Strategy. And then what you're

here for, the main event is Josilyn getting up talking

about our acquisition solution and going through the

- draft RFP. Hopefully you've had a chance to kind of
- look through that. Then we'll talk about some next
- 3 steps, and then we're gonna have a question and answer
- 4 period.
- Now, this is going to be kind of divided into
- two sections. If you are -- hopefully you're aware.
- 7 We did send out a call for questions on our Interact
- 8 site. So those questions that were submitted, we're
- going to go through those and Janet's going to talk
- 10 about those, and then we're gonna give you some time
- 11 to actually ask some open-ended questions. Now, we're
- not gonna guarantee we can answer everything right
- now. But if we have to research something, we will
- definitely get it posted again to the Interact site.
- Okay. So -- and oh, one other thing I wanted to
- bring. Please hold your questions until the end,
- until we get to that question and answer period.
- 18 So at this point, I would like to introduce
- 19 Mary Ruwwe. And Eric, if you wanna go along -- there
- we go. Again, Mary is our regional commissioner.
- 21 She's with the Regional Commissioner for the Federal
- 22 Acquisition Service in the Heartland Region. Mary?
- MARY RUWWE: Thank you. Good morning. I got
- 24 a chance to meet all of you a little earlier. I want
- to say thank you for taking the time to be here today.

We are having three industry days; this morning, New 2 York City tomorrow and Washington DC on Thursday. 3 told people you don't need to attend all three. You can if you want, but we wanted to make it easier for 5 you to come and hear and give us your feedback based on the particular time and location that meets your 6 So I think we've got I know at least about schedule. 45 attendees today, good turnout. We're really happy 9 with that, and we have a host of GSA folks locally 10 here in the room as well in the back. Guys, raise your hands, here in the Philadelphia region. And so 11 12 as you know, that the GSA has about 11 regions. the Heartland Region is putting together the contract 13 14 vehicle, the BMO Strategic Sourcing, Strategic -- see, 15 I can't even say it -- Strategic Sourcing effort, but it's for use government-wide, so that's why we're from 16 17 Kansas City. We're here in Philadelphia, and we 18 appreciate you guys hosting us. So again, thank you 19 for being here today.

I'm going to talk to you about importance of strategic sourcing. It's important to the federal government and the taxpayer and the critical role that you all play as the industry in making it successful. The -- so I just call the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative Strategic Sourcing. Some people, you'll

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 hear them call it FSSI, so it's all the same.
- So why is Strategic Sourcing important?
- Well, it's important because at the end of the day, it
- 4 helps us generate savings and efficiencies by
- 5 leveraging the government buying needs as an
- 6 enterprise. And so in doing that, we're looking at --
- for the BMO, we're looking at the enterprise. We're
- doing the enterprise in zones, so we're starting off
- 9 with Zone One being this I'll call it this upper
- 10 northeastern corridor. And Strategic Sourcing is
- important because it'll help us reduce the
- administrative burden of thousands of procurements,
- 13 allowing agencies to take that time savings and focus
- it on their respective agency missions. Strategic
- 15 Sourcing is important because it's really a
- 16 collaborative government-wide process that considers
- their agency's organizational goals, their customer
- needs for that particular federal asset, their program
- 19 requirements. It takes into consideration the current
- 20 market conditions, organizational goals that they have
- and their small business goals.
- 22 So GSA's role in this process to ensure that
- you all, the industry, understands the agency's needs
- so that in turn, you can deliver the goods and
- 25 services in the most cost effective manner and the

- most innovative manner possible. The success of 2 Strategic Sourcing really relies on the relationship 3 that the Federal Government, GSA has with you, so the industry has been involved in this process from the very beginning. The BMO team has held, I think, two 5 6 RFIs thus far. We had a big vendor kickoff virtually earlier in the process. We had about 300 attendees 8 with that. And in all of those efforts, we've 9 identified best practices, saving solutions. 10 ultimately, it's helped up develop this acquisition
- strategy which at the end of the day again is designed to
  achieve cost savings and innovation in building
  maintenance.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as you can see and hear, we can't do this without industry. I mean, we rely heavily upon you.

And hopefully that you'll hear during the session about and walk away with, this will be a tremendous opportunity for you to help the Federal Government be more efficient and save money for the taxpayers, so isn't it appropriate? We're coming up on tax season, April 15th. You see the line of folks out in the front of the building waiting to get help for their taxes. So when you think about Strategic Sourcing, you're really thinking about, you know, efficiencies and cost savings for the taxpayer.

1 So before I turn it back over to Mary S -- so 2 say Mary S, Mary R, I just want to say thank you again 3 for being here. I look forward to hearing your feedback. I was telling folks we're here to hear from 5 And as you -- as we walk through the requests for proposal, definitely get your questions in. 7 get them captured so that if we can't answer them here 8 today, that we will be following up and providing the answers collectively so that those who cannot attend 10 industry days in person will be able to see it. you have the questions, I quarantee you there will be 11 12 10 other people that have that same question. 13 thank you again, look forward to hearing your feedback.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARY SNODDERLY: Thank you, Mary. I do see a lot of familiar faces out in the audience, so -- and when I say familiar faces, most of the team that is working on the BMO -- actually, I can probably say all the team that's worked on the BMO actually worked with the Schedules Program; in particular, the Facility Maintenance and Management or 03FAC schedule, so this is why I know a lot of you. I do recognize, and you probably recognize the team as well, but we're gonna be talking about specifically about BMO, Building Maintenance and Operations services as we go forward.

Before I introduce Walter to come up and

- talk, I do want to introduce one other person that's
- 2 in the room, Helena Coch. Helena, if you can kind of
- 3 wave or stand, there we go. Helena is with our OSBU
- 4 office. That is the Office of Small Business
- 5 Utilization within GSA. We ask Helena to be here in
- 6 case there's any specific questions that need to be
- addressed. Helena will be here throughout the event,
- and we're gonna have some breaks throughout. And then
- 9 maybe at the end, hopefully she has some time where
- she can maybe stay a few minutes after it's over. And
- if you've got any questions specifically for that
- office, you can -- you can talk to Helena.
- 13 Okay. Without further ado, I'm going to
- 14 actually introduce Walter Eckbreth. Walter is, again,
- with our FSSI. I always put too many S's in there,
- 16 I'm afraid. FSSI Program Management Office, and he's
- gonna be talking about an overview of just the whole
- 18 FSSI program. Take it away.
- 19 WALTER ECKBRETH: Thanks, Mary. So let me
- 20 start off -- and I've got I think there's five or six
- 21 slides, something like that. I don't -- I don't read
- from my slides. I don't -- I'll say I don't have them
- 23 memorized, but I do, kind of, sort of. So let me --
- let me explain to you. I think there's a lot of
- 25 misinformation out there about what Federal Strategic

- 1 Sourcing Initiative is or what Strategic Sourcing is.
- 2 There's this all too common belief that Strategic
- 3 Sourcing is all about limiting the number of vendors
- 4 and creating these contracts and very, very small
- 5 contracts. And really, what, what Strategic Sourcing
- 6 is is looking at how the government buys and trying to
- 7 identify what decisions we make that add to our cost
- 8 of buying. Are our specifications too restrictive or,
- 9 or do we require too much of contractors? So in the
- building maintenance space, you know, the hours that
- 11 we require trash pickup. You know, if we have a
- janitorial contract and we only allow the janitors to
- 13 come in in the off hours, well, we know that that adds
- 14 to our cost, or grounds maintenance. Some of the
- requirements that we may have to, to, to keep the
- 16 grass cut even when the grass isn't growing, those
- 17 kinds of things that we -- this is where Strategic
- Sourcing is taking us is actually having a sit down
- 19 and taking a good, hard look at how we buy, what
- decisions we make and how those decisions impact cost.
- Now, sometimes we don't have any choice in
- decisions. Sometimes Congress has given us
- regulations or laws that we have to follow, and those
- regulations or laws may drive up our cost, but we
- don't really have much choice in that short of working

- through the legislative process, and that doesn't move
- fast. But where industry comes in and is very
- 3 important in this, this is -- this is a very open and
- 4 collaborative process. We've, we've stood up the
- 5 Interact site, and we've been managing that for well
- 6 over a year now where we've shared bits of information
- 7 with you all and ask you all questions. And what
- 8 we're trying to find out is we're trying to get a
- 9 sanity check and get your input on what we need to do
- 10 better. And through each one of these Strategic
- 11 Sourcing Initiative procurements that we do, whether
- it's we actually do a set of contracts or we change
- some of our buying behaviors, we're seeking the help
- of industry to tell us what we're doing wrong and how
- we can do things better.
- So, you know, I want to thank you all for
- 17 coming today. This is a important milestone for us.
- 18 You know, we, we -- a year ago when we did the kickoff
- 19 meeting with industry, did the virtual kickoff, I was
- 20 actually one of the people manning the -- manning the
- 21 question and answer booth back in Washington, and we
- had really great engagement, and it's so good to see
- people here in person. So, you know, I kind of run
- through this.
- 25 Strategic Sourcing, it's about you helping us

- to build better procurements. There are some
- 2 benchmarks that we do have to have as we go into each
- one. If we're gonna start a procurement, we have to
- 4 benchmark what the procurement environment is, what
- 5 the socioeconomic usage is, how much business goes to
- 6 small business, how much goes to service-disabled
- 7 veteran-owned small business, to each of the
- 8 subcategories. And then if we're gonna do an
- 9 acquisition solution, we have to look and see, create
- 10 a solution that helps us maintain those or improve
- 11 those. So if we're doing 50 percent of the business
- with small business, if we're creating a new
- acquisition vehicle, we need to try and create it so
- 14 that at least 50 percent of the business, we have a
- shot to get there or do better at that at the
- 16 socioeconomic. You know, it's, it's not about driving
- 17 prices down. It's about helping us get to the right
- value for the government.
- 19 There's, there's a lot of questions sometimes
- that we're always aiming for the low cost. If you're
- 21 talking simple commodities, office supplies where you
- have hundreds or thousands of vendors who sell the
- exact same catalog of 70,000 items; they all sell the
- 24 exact same pen. They all sell the exact same tablet
- of paper. The value in there, the value add that a

- vendor brings is much lower than if we're doing
- 2 professional services. You know, think about if
- you're going for a doctor. Are you gonna go for the
- 4 lowest price doctor you can get? Probably not.
- 5 You're probably gonna find somebody with a level of
- 6 expertise that goes with whatever your health concern
- 7 is, whether it's a serious health concern or you just
- you need a check up, and that's the same thing with
- 9 this.
- So, you know, as we're looking at building
- maintenance services, we understand there's, there's
- markets that we need to work in. There are labor,
- 13 labor rates that we have to work with. There's
- different service requirements at different facilities
- and, and, you know, you figure out that the janitorial
- 16 work that would be done at a VA hospital versus in an
- office building versus on a campus. Each one of those
- is different, but we're looking for the same level
- of -- a level of service appropriate for each one. So
- let's go to next slide.
- 21 So back in 2005, President George W. Bush set
- 22 up the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative. He
- issued an executive order standing this up, and, and
- 24 we started down the path of creating several different
- 25 solutions. There was an initial solution for office

supplies. There was a solution for domestic delivery small package service. Think of UPS or FedEx, and there were a couple of other different ones that were tried. And basically, this was an attempt to have groups of agencies come together who had common needs and identify a common groundwork that they would need to get — to get a contract in place that all could use that would benefit the government, kind of reduce our, our, our acquisition costs. Instead of having every office go out and do their own contract, we'll set up a group of them that everybody can buy from.

And through the first generation of solutions, one of the things that became apparent to everybody was -- and it was a thing that was missing in the first generation -- was data. We weren't capturing data on how the government was using the solutions and what value we were getting. And so with that, as we moved into -- as the first generation started to expire and we move into the second generations, there was a second executive order that was signed at that point by President Obama that started to add some concepts for operation. And then there was a third one in 2012 which further solidified the concepts of operation.

But basically what the Federal Strategic

- 1 Sourcing Initiative procurement is looking for,
- they're looking to create a vehicle. If it's an
- acquisition, it's gotta save the government money.
- 4 It's gotta enable socioeconomic growth. It's gotta
- 5 have data. It's gotta have intense management. You
- 6 know, we don't -- just can't put contracts in place
- 7 and walk away. We need to intensely manage them to
- 8 make sure the contracts are still good. The whole
- 9 process, though, is, is coming in and making sure that
- 10 we set up a vehicle that works and works well. And as
- 11 we started to collect the data, the data has helped
- shape how we build procurements.

So as I mentioned, one of the first
generation solutions that we did was small package

- delivery. Traditionally, you think of FedEx. And so
- as we, we got through and going into the second
- generation solutions and trying to figure out how the
- government is buying wrong and what we can do better
- in a new procurement, the team started looking at
- where we were getting charges, and the domestic
- 21 delivery contract was one where we were getting pretty
- good data, and what they started looking at was all of
- the excess oil charges that the government was taking
- on. So every time we filled out a handbill and we
- filled them out in paper and it was a triplicate form

or a quadruplicate form and the copy that FedEx took
was always the bottom copy and so the writing on that
was always faint, and so the government got charged
every time FedEx had to call somebody to find out
where do I deliver it or if we gave them a bad address
of if we gave them a bad phone number at the location.

And so we started accruing all these charges, and the team realized early on that for the next generation, we've gotta find a way to minimize these excess oil charges. Part of it is training our government employees on that this is a problem.

Another part is working into the new contract is there a way that we can get out of having these five part forms that we had, and the solution was each -- you know, each of the providers, they did have an online tracking system. They had an online ordering system that we could start to use, and so we put that as a requirement in there.

One of the other things that the team started looking at was the shipping profile. And so we would have people that without thinking of it sitting in this federal office building here in Philadelphia decide that they need to send a package overnight to an office in New York City, and they would check that they would need an overnight air, morning pick up.

Well, to go from Philadelphia to New York, it actually doesn't need to get on a plane to go there and make it overnight. It can go on a truck. We had people in Washington DC who you'd be in one federal building in Washington DC and they would check overnight air to another federal building in Washington DC or in the suburbs. Again, it didn't have to go on a plane. But because we checked that box, we got charged that additional fee. So here again, it's a training -- a training piece for the government to understand what

our shipping profile is.

understand how we buy and where we're adding our cost that we started to track some of this data and where we see -- you know, there was a lot of push back on data, but this is where data is very important to us. And we'll talk a little later in the procurement about some of the data that we're asking for, and this is where we need help from industry. What data is available? What data will help us make the right purchasing decisions that will help us manage buildings and facilities better? Next slide.

So the Strategic Sourcing process -- and this comes about with the 2012 -- we actually have this process set up that the Office of Management and

- 1 Budget every year will take a look at what the
- 2 government buys. They look at big pots of money and
- look at big pots of spend, and they try and get down
- 4 to looking a pots where a lot of agencies are buying
- 5 the same kind of stuff. Every agency buys office
- 6 supplies. Every agency has small package delivery
- 7 needs. Some agencies need print management services.
- Most do, but not all. Everybody buys computers.
- 9 There's a lot of agencies that have building
- management needs. Now, this is one that's not every
- 11 agency does, but there's several large landlords for
- the government that do the brunt of the work, but it's
- a broad enough spectrum.

And so there's a process that's been set up

that OMB starts by identifying these pots of spend and

does a sanity check. They start to convene a lead

agency to work with a group of other agencies to

identify is this something that the entire government

- buys or a large number of agencies buy? Do we all buy
- the same thing and have similar needs, and are those
- 21 needs similar enough that we could create a new
- 22 solution and, you know, working through a demand
- 23 management strategy to help us buy better or is there
- an acquisition solution that's, that's, that's needed.
- 25 And if that group meets and decides that yes, there's,

- there's enough need across a number of agencies, we'll
- 2 convene a commodity team made up of a number of
- 3 agencies and we'll start to get down into
- 4 understanding the spend in that category. And once we
- 5 do that, we identify that there is an opportunity for
- the government to save money, we'll have a key
- decision point where we'll go back before the Office
- 8 of Management and Budget before the Strategic Sourcing
- 9 Leadership Committee which is set up of chief
- 10 acquisition officers, and we'll, we'll present them
- 11 the information and say should we go forward and
- create a Strategic Sourcing Initiative procurement or
- 13 a solution here?

Once a group is set up, then the commodity

team comes together. This is the group of agencies

16 that send representatives. Sometimes, it's a

17 strategic sourcing person. Sometimes, it's a

18 commodity specialist. Sometimes, it's a procurement

- 19 person, and they come together and they identify how,
- 20 how their agencies buy these products or services,
- 21 where there are opportunities. We start to engage
- industry and ask you all what are we doing wrong?
- When we buy this, what do we do that drives up cost
- and what can we do to improve that? So we start to
- 25 work through and identify some different ways that we

can save money. And, you know, once we -- once we go through this discovery process and we have a plan in how we want to go forward, we then go again before OMB for a second key decision point. And this is the one where we say okay, here's all the information that we've gathered. Here's where we see the opportunity for spend if we take this path. And we get them to agree that yes, that's the right path. We ask agencies to all join in together and participate, and then we go and create the solution, whatever the solution might be.

Once it's in place and we start -- we change behavior. We create a new procurement and agencies start using it. We then have to demonstrate that what we've done actually makes a difference, makes a positive difference, helps cuts the cost. And so once we've done that, we go back before OMB and say here's the solution. It's in place. Here's the agencies who have been using it. Here's the benefit that they've gotten from it. And from that point, there's another vote, another key decision, and that's when we get the mythical Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative branding. But the key there is that really helps agencies to identify here is an opportunity for the government to save, and here's a process in which I

- 1 can save. Let me go to the next slide.
- 2 So I talked a little bit about this, the
- 3 structure of the Federal Strategic Sourcing
- 4 Initiative. There's a governing structure, and we
- 5 always have to have a governance structure for
- anything, whether it's a club or a federal
- organization. So in the governance structure, it
- 8 falls under the Office of Management and Budget, so
- 9 under the Executive Office of the President. There's an
- 10 oversight program management office that is housed within
- 11 GSA. That's where I work. And basically what we do, we
- 12 provide the administrative support and oversight to help
- 13 teams line up all these solutions to help provide support
- to, to, to Mary and her team. Execution is done by
- 15 teams like this. Sometimes, they're out of GSA leading
- 16 the team. Sometimes, there are other agencies that lead
- 17 these teams that work across commodity teams. And then
- 18 there's the enablement and champion, which is we have
- agencies on the teams, and those agencies are the support,
- the champions for this. So as we move forward with
- building maintenance services, you know, you'll,
- 22 you'll see agencies that are all supporting that are
- gonna make commitments to use this procurement and
- 24 support it.
- This is the part I like talking about. You

```
1 know, I said Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative is
```

- 2 not always about buying cheaply and driving down the
- 3 price. There's a lot of different, different levers
- 4 in there. You know, we do look at pricing
- differences, but we can look at those based upon how
- 6 we're buying. As I said, if we change our specs, our
- 7 requirements -- you know, we don't ask for things that
- 8 we don't need. If I don't need that box of pens
- 9 delivered to my desk the next morning, if you can just
- ship it overnight, you know, can ship overnight or
- ship third day for my box of pens, I can save money on
- that. I'll still get pens. There's no additional cost on
- 13 the vendor. In fact, it saves the vendor money, and that
- passed on to -- that gets passed on to us as saved
- 15 cost.
- 16 One of the great levers that we've used a lot
- of is demand management. The building maintenance
- 18 team, one of the first things that they did when they
- 19 stood up that commodity team, the commodity team
- identified a series of behaviors they could take to
- 21 help reduce their cost. Some of it was going back to
- 22 old executive orders that had made some changes or put
- some requirements on the government to change
- 24 behavior, but nobody was really tracking what was
- 25 being done. For example, energy, reducing energy

consumption in a federal building by having the
computers in the building shut off at a certain time
so people didn't leave the -- leave the computers on
overnight. There was already an executive order that
did that, but it was kind of probably hit or miss as
far as how agencies used it. But the commodity team
got together and said this is something good, let's
remind agencies to do it.

other opportunity in doing building retrofits. If we do building retrofits to put in motion sensor lights or have timer lights so that lights shut off in the middle of the night when people aren't in the building or shut off when people aren't in the facility even during the daytime, there's an opportunity to save energy. Again, this doesn't — this isn't a procurement. This is a behavioral change for the government. Now, it may take a procurement to enable some of these, but this isn't a change to any procurement. It doesn't drive down pricing. These are the kinds of demand management changes that we see that are really positive.

Our print management solution that we stood up four years ago, one of the first pieces there was a similar demand management. We were able to identify

- with industry's help that the government could save 2 money on printing by novel ideas of instead of doing 3 color printing, print in black and white. two sides of the paper. There's certain fonts that use a lot of toner. By changing font, you can -- we 6 could reduce toner usage. Printing in draft mode also reduces, and one of the biggest ones was don't have 8 those little personal printers that people have at 9 They're cheap and easy for the government to buy. But as you know with your own home printers, you 10 11 buy the printer for \$100. And by the third toner 12 cartridge, you've brought that printer again and you keep buying it, so there were opportunities to look at the 13 14 total cost of how we buy.
  - Again, this didn't require a new procurement.

    This was reminding agencies of here's some good

    behaviors that you can have that are gonna change

    your, your cost that are gonna save your money. Now,

    we do also have procurement changes. We -- you know,

    if we can create some larger contracts that work with

    a larger group of agencies, it can reduce their

    administrative cost and efficiencies because they're

    able to just place orders against contracts as opposed

    to having to go out and do new contracts. And from

    the contractor perspective, it hopefully reduces your

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- bid proposal costs of having to go out and do bid and
- 2 proposal for the same little thing over and over
- again. So there's a lot of opportunities there that
- 4 we use it to save money. I think that pretty well
- 5 does it.
- 6 And so the key -- I've talked about this a
- 7 lot -- small business participation. Again, one of
- 8 the key things of the Federal Strategic Sourcing
- 9 Initiative is making sure that we still maintain
- socioeconomic benchmarks that we've already done and
- 11 keep those same, same levels of support. So there was
- an OMB memo issued in December 2012, and this is again
- 13 saying if we're gonna do a procurement, we need to
- 14 benchmark what the socioeconomic was, how many -- the
- dollars, the percent of dollars that are going to each
- 16 socioeconomic subcategory. And if we're gonna create
- 17 a solution, we have to create a solution that's gonna
- 18 help meet those or exceed those same goals. And
- 19 again -- and this is where we need industry help as
- we're going through this. You know, Josilyn will talk
- about the procurement and will walk you through it.
- 22 Make note of things that you think will hinder us,
- 23 something that a small business can't do or, you know,
- 24 service disabled veteran owned small business, things that
- 25 we may have in there that we may not see as being a

```
1 challenge. You know, we've worked with the commodity
```

- 2 team. We've worked with the agencies who buy BMO services
- 3 and looking at their contracts. We think we've
- 4 incorporated, but this is your opportunity to give us a
- 5 sanity check. I think that wraps up my segment.
- 6 MARY SNODDERLY: Thank you, Walter. Okay. I
- 7 forgot to make this announcement earlier. Anybody
- 8 looking at the clock on the wall, it is not correct,
- 9 if you haven't noticed that already. I think it's
- about 15 minutes behind. I didn't want anybody to
- 10 look up there and think oh, boy, I've -- you know,
- 12 I've got plenty of time for something.
- 13 I'm gonna actually talk now. You've heard
- 14 Walter give an overview of the whole FSSI program, so
- 15 I'm gonna talk a little bit about BMO and kind of
- 16 start giving you the structure and the overview of
- 17 what BMO looks like and how it fits into that FSSI
- 18 process. Before we get started with that, quick
- 19 question for everybody in the audience, if you could
- just hold up your hand. How many small businesses do
- 21 we have in the audience? We have quite a few. Great.
- 22 How many other small businesses -- businesses that are
- 23 other than small do we have in the audience? So we do
- have a few large businesses as well. That's great.
- 25 So let's go ahead and talk a little bit about

- the BMO overview and kind of what it's all about. You
- 2 heard Walter talk about the development of what we
- 3 call an interagency commodity team. We do have one
- for BMO, and have the agencies listed up there. We
- 5 have over 18 different organizations that are part of
- 6 that team. That team is developing the strategy as we
- 7 go forward. GSA's just kind of doing the
- 8 implementation part of it. The team gets together and
- 9 talks about different things that they do within their
- 10 agencies, and then we try to get that -- we
- incorporate that in as best that we can. Some of the
- goals -- and again, like Walter, I'm not gonna
- necessarily read through everything on the slides
- here. Announcement, we will have the slide deck
- posted to the Interact site, so you will have copies
- of the slides. I forgot to make that announcement
- earlier as well, so you will be able to obtain that.
- 18 You can just see some of the information that
- 19 we have up there. A customized solution, which I'll
- 20 be talking a little bit more about that, how flexible
- 21 that it is. Small business, there's participation
- 22 where both small businesses and large businesses can
- 23 participate in this program. So let's go ahead and go
- to the next slide.
- Like I said, this is a very comprehensive,

1 very flexible solution that we have out there. Now,

2 keep in mind. I mentioned that we were part of the

3 Schedules Program at one point. This particular

solution is going to be an open market IDIQ. I wanna

stress that, open market. It is not gonna go against

6 the schedule. Now, that does not mean that those of

you that do hold a schedule contract, you're more than

welcome, obviously, to put in an offer, but just

9 please know that it is not gonna go against the

10 schedule. I think a lot of the other FSSIs that are

11 out there have been BPAs against the schedule, and

we're kind of breaking new ground there.

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this solution's also going to allow for various contracts types at the task order level. What do I mean by that? We're gonna allow for firm fixed price. We're gonna allow for labor house, time and material, cost reimbursement. All the different contract types out there are gonna be allowed at the task order level. Now, for this parent contract, the BMO parent contract that we are doing, we are looking at a 10 to 12 year period, contract period. Now, why do we say 10 to 12 years? We do have to seek a deviation for that contract length, and we're still in the process of doing that, so that's why up on the slides it says pending, pending the FAR deviation

- 1 approval.
- Now, when I said this is flexible and as we
- 3 start kind of talking about how this is gonna be set
- 4 up for you industry, please note that customer
- 5 agencies when they come in to order off the BMO
- 6 vehicle, the parent contract, they can order a single
- 7 service or they can order a mix of services. Now, all
- 8 the -- we will have some sustainable language
- 9 incorporated in there. I think Josilyn might talk a
- 10 little bit about that as we go through the draft RFP
- 11 as well. Let's take a look at the next slide.
- 12 The next slide, when I mentioned that we --
- that customer agencies will be able to order a single
- 14 service or a mix of services, that's a little
- different for the industry. You can see up here the
- 16 way we've got the scope outlined, we do have two
- different service groupings. We have our Operations
- and Maintenance, and then we have facility Support
- 19 Services. The top part is what we are calling
- 20 required services. Now, you're gonna see this chart
- 21 again because Josilyn's gonna go through it as well
- 22 when she talks about the draft RFP.
- Now, under operations and maintenance, the
- required services that we have will be HVAC
- 25 maintenance, plumbing and pipe fitting, elevator

Page 31

- 1 maintenance and electrical maintenance. And I'm not
- 2 gonna read the whole optional services, but you can
- 3 see there's a whole host of optional services that can
- 4 be offered as well. Under the facility support
- 5 services, the required services will be janitorial and
- 6 then landscaping or grounds maintenance. And again,
- 7 we have some optional services under that as well.
- 8 Now, how did we come up with this list of
- 9 services in these two different groupings? Remember
- 10 the commodity team that I talked about? That's how we
- 11 came up with it. It was a decision, and it was
- 12 determined that these were the services that were most
- frequently ordered kind of together, and this is why
- we came up with the grouping that we did. Also, small
- business consideration had us breaking it out into two
- 16 groupings. Like I said, Josilyn's gonna cover this in
- 17 a little more depth when she talks about the RFP, so
- let's go to the next slide.
- Now, we are taking a zonal approach, and
- 20 hopefully everybody is understanding that. This why
- 21 we are doing the industry days here today, New York
- 22 tomorrow and Washington DC on Thursday. We are
- looking at Zone One which covers Delaware, Maryland,
- New Jersey, New York, Virginia, West Virginia, and
- 25 Washington DC. Oh, Pennsylvania. I knew I was

- leaving one out there. Pennsylvania, duh. We're in
  Pennsylvania, right? So that is the Zone One that we
- 3 are looking at.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what does that mean? That means that 5 yeah, we are gonna probably create other zones. are actually looking at that, but we have not got them 6 defined or exactly when we're gonna roll them out, but we wanted to start. So the initial award that we're gonna be talking about is for this Zone One. 10 just kind of give you some facts and figures, and you're gonna see up there in my third bullet which 11 12 says that we have identified that there is \$1.5 billion in addressable spend in Zone One. Now, I know 13 14 many of you familiar with Ability One or Source 15 That is minus the Source America spend in what we are terming as addressable. When we looked at 16 17 this whole market of BMO, it included utilities. 18 pulled that out, so this is looking at what we feel

Now, other things that we've done, we've also in conjunction with why did we come up with the Zone One, same thing. The commodity team came in and helped us. So as far as the scope, the previous slide I did and this slide, we've got input from our commodity team, our interagency commodity team that's

really falls under the scope of the BMO vehicle.

Page 33

- out there. And we've also posted -- and I think Mary
- 2 mentioned that or Walter mentioned. We have posted a
- 3 couple of RFIs over the past year or so, and we were
- 4 asking for information. Also, you keep hearing me
- 5 mention Interact. We post a whole host of questions
- 6 to that Interact site. And so we are looking for
- feedback, and that is why we are posting it to
- Interact. And if you don't have that, we'll make sure
- 9 that you have that site. Please make sure you're a
- 10 member of that site and feel free to post. If you
- don't wanna post your answer out there -- it is public
- for everyone to see -- you can email it in to our BMO
- address. I know we will have that coming up towards
- the end.
- Okay. With that said, I'm gonna actually now
- turn it over to Janet Schweer, and she's gonna talk
- about some small business strategy.
- JANET SCHWEER: I think I'm gonna be
- 19 repeating a lot of what Walter was saying. We'll
- 20 emphasize it more here. I can't get much closer than
- 21 this. Can you hear me now? I'll just stand like
- this.
- As Walter mentioned, there was an Office of
- 24 Management and Budget -- sorry, memo from December
- 25 2012 on improving acquisition through strategic

sourcing, and that memo outlined the goal of meeting 2 or increasing the small business participation per 3 spend through strategic sourcing. So the government, as we all know, has specific goals for small business 5 spend percentage. We have to report on that. Every agency has their own goals, so, you know, OMB is very mindful of that when they're rolling out the Strategic Sourcing Initiative. As Walter said, the exact language says to the maximum extent practical, all 10 strategic sourcing opportunities shall seek to 11 increase participation by small business. that -- for part of our process is we had to start 12 13 with market research. We had to come up with a baseline of what the current small business 14 15 participation is for the BMO services.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We looked at FY '13 spend, which is the most recent available at the time. Mary just mentioned that there is \$1.5 billion in addressable spend in Zone One, so we had to look and see how much of that is currently going to small businesses. Again, that's within the scope of the BMO services, the specific services that we're targeting with this vehicle that was spent by the federal agencies. When we looked at that data, over half of it was going to small businesses currently. Now, that could be one contract

- here for HVAC services only, one contract here for landscaping services only. That's just an aggregate
- number of the spend, but it provides us with a
- 4 baseline of what we should be looking at for our
- 5 vehicle in terms of meeting or increasing the small
- 6 business participation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Mary mentioned, we have been reaching out to industry through our Interact site. One of the questions we got from the commodity team on this was, you know, we're trying to increase opportunities for small business. We had to look at our zone size to make sure that it was a size that small businesses could cover. We didn't wanna make it -- you know, there's a reason it's not a nationwide solution coming out the box. It's because we had to make it so we're facilitating small business participation, and that's why we have the zone the size that it is. We had to make sure that we had small businesses in that area that could cover that zone. And again, we had reported feedback from RFIs and through Interact that told us that small businesses could do that, so that's why we go with the zonal structure. And as Mary mentioned, we will be coming out with additional zones. We don't have those defined at this time, but

each zone will have tailored small business goals and

its own baseline because we will have different spend,

addressable spend in each area depending on how we're

defining that zone.

As with any government procurement vehicle, we have our regulations, so any other than small businesses would be required to have subcontracting --small business subcontracting plans as part of the vehicle. Small businesses would not be required to submit a plan, but that will be a requirement for any other than small business participating, and most of you are probably used to that, already have a plan, probably maybe several plans depending on your contracts in place.

A question that we get quite a bit is about set—asides. Josilyn's going to be talking about the two different parent contract groups that we'll be having. We'll have unrestricted and then small businesses. Within each one of those, customer agencies would be able to set aside task orders for small businesses assuming there is adequate competition. So as Walter mentioned, there are going to be various socioeconomic groups in both of those pools or both of those parent contracts. So provided there's enough service disabled veteran owned small businesses in either pool, agencies can still set

- a side task orders. They will still have to follow all
- 2 regulations, all of their internal policies of, you
- 3 know, different thresholds at which they set aside
- 4 orders for different small businesses. Those will
- 5 still be in place. That's the end of mine. Do you
- 6 want to take a break?
- 7 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Next on the agenda is
- 8 going to be the acquisition solution. And obviously,
- 9 Josilyn has a lot to say. So why don't we take about
- 10 a 10 minute break, and then we'll come back and we'll
- 11 really get into the meat of the draft RFP. Thanks.
- 12 (A short break was held.)
- MARY SNODDERLY: If anybody is standing up in
- the back, we do have some seats up front here. It's
- 15 kind of like church. Nobody wants to sit up in the
- front pew, right? Okay. Welcome back.
- 17 And a lot of people have actually asked me
- this question, so I am gonna answer. Yes, our whole
- 19 BMO team -- with the exception of Walter, we are all
- from Kansas City, Missouri. So we flew up here just
- 21 to be with you all, and then we are taking the train
- this afternoon going to New York, and we're gonna do
- another session in New York and we're gonna take the
- train and then go to DC, Washington, DC and do the
- 25 same from there. I may have stated that earlier. But

yeah, we are actually all in Kansas City, Missouri, so we're Midwest born and bred here.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let's go ahead and get started because I'm sure this is what everybody came for. I want to introduce Josilyn Reed. She is our senior contracting officer, and she's gonna actually go through a lot of the highlights of the draft RFP that is posted out there. So Josilyn?

JOSILYN REED: Thanks, Mary. Okay.

So again, like Mary said, we were -- for the acquisition solution, we're going to go through briefly each section of the draft RFP and we're just gonna highlight some of the most important contents of the draft RFP. Due to the limited time, we won't have time to address every element of the draft RFP, but we will cover some of the most important content. And so if you do have a question on the draft RFP or a section that we didn't cover, we ask that you please make a note of that, jot that down, and we will cover that towards the end when we have our question and answer sessions. As Mary mentioned earlier, towards the end of the presentation, we will go through question and answers so you will get an opportunity. If you've already submitted your questions, we'll go through those questions. And if you have new

questions or comments, we'll get an opportunity to address those at that time, so we ask that you hold

3 your questions until the end of the presentation.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So moving right along into the acquisition solution. We did post a draft RFP. It was released on the FedBizOps website on March 19th, and it will be closing on April 17th. Again, this is a draft RFP, and the purpose for this draft is, as you heard before as you heard Walter mention, we want this to be a collaborative effort. We want to hear from industry. We want to know is this -- you know, are we correct in these areas? Is there something we can be doing So we want you feedback. So if there's any section or any information that you want to provide us regarding the draft RFP, please do that. We ask that all responses be given to us by the closing date, which will be April 17th. And then if you actually click on the draft RFP, you'll see we've given some guidance on how we're looking for that information, just corresponding to the correct section and things of that nature.

So as you heard Janet mention earlier, we will have two final RFPs. So we'll have 100 percent total small business set aside, and then we will have another RFP that will be an unrestricted. So the, the

- two different RFPs, they will be very similar in
- 2 scope, meaning some -- the same service requirements,
- 3 some of the general requirements, and then they may
- 4 vary based on the evaluation criteria and things of
- 5 that nature because we understand that small
- 6 businesses tackle things differently than the
- 7 unrestricted, so we definitely want feedback in those
- 8 areas as well.
- 9 So what I'm gonna do is I'm gonna go through
- 10 each section of the RFP as it's stated in the draft
- 11 RFP. So the first section is Section A. This is
- 12 pretty standard. If you guys have done government
- work, you're very familiar with. This will be the
- form that we're using, the standard Form 33 that we'll
- be using for the BMO vehicle. Next slide.
- 16 Section B. Section B will discuss a lot of
- the background information of the acquisition. It
- will include like a general overview of FSSI, which
- 19 you guys have heard a little bit about that earlier.
- 20 We talk about the background of BMO. And as you --
- 21 Mary has given us earlier, and then we talk about
- 22 other things such as the authorities used, et cetera.
- 23 So we talked a little bit about the contract
- type. This will be a government-wide acquisition. It
- 25 will be a multiple award, indefinite delivery,

indefinite quantity contract vehicle, so it'll be a 2 family of awardees for the multiple award IDIQ type 3 contract. This contract will allow the flexibility, as Mary stated earlier, for task orders to have 5 varying contract types. So we understand that our agencies don't just place firm fix orders, firm fix price task order types, so we want to have the flexibility where agencies can select their contract 9 There might even be a combination of contract type. 10 types per each vehicle, meaning that there might be a 11 separate contract line item for one type and might include another, like a labor hour component to that 12 13 firm fix price component. So we understand that, that 14 agencies have needs for the varying types of 15 contracts, and so this contract will allow for the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there is a minimum guarantee on this contract of \$2,500, and there is no maximum ceiling for this. We want to -- this is another area that we're really looking for feedback from, so we want to know -- we're looking for feedback regarding like minimum order averages. We want to know is this minimum guarantee, is this something that you're seeing, that you're normally doing. We just kind of want to know if this is something that is -- industry

task orders to be -- have multiple types of contracts.

- is seeing and that this is acceptable, so we would
- 2 like -- definitely like to get some feedback on that.
- 3 Section B also references the, the labor
- 4 categories and the standard occupational
- 5 classifications. Under this vehicle, we are asking
- 6 that the labor categories come in in a standardized
- 7 form. So we have created a standardized list of labor
- 8 categories, and that is an attachment that you will
- 9 find in the draft RFP. This standardized labor
- 10 categories, it will correspond to the Office of
- 11 Management Budget -- and I'll say OMB from here on,
- and the Standard Occupation Classification System,
- 13 SOCS. So they're gonna be labor categories that are
- either in individual labor categories such as janitors
- laborers, things of that nature that can be mapped to
- 16 a single SOC function or a labor category that will be
- matched to groups. So it could be under, like, your
- management group or varying groups, so there's a
- 19 complete list.
- 20 We've separated it out. These labor
- 21 categories, we understand that this is a service
- contract, so we've identified the labor category. We
- have identified the definition of that labor category
- and then we've corresponded that to the service
- contract actual code that, that, that will apply to

- 1 that labor category. So that's been mapped up front,
- and we want industry to get familiar with this process
- because when they're placing task orders, everything
- 4 will correlate back to the standardized labor
- 5 categories.
- Next slide. Now, moving on to Section C.
- 7 Section C will be the section you will probably refer
- 8 to often. This section will give you the description.
- 9 It talks about the specification and most importantly,
- 10 the performance-based statement of work. So Section
- 11 C -- again, you will be referring to this back and
- forth, and you'll hear me say Section C, Section C,
- 13 Section C. And again, it goes back to the
- requirements and the performance based statement of
- work.
- 16 So just a general backgrounds of Section C.
- 17 Again, this is a comprehensive solution. It's gonna
- 18 cross multiple service categories. And as Mary
- 19 mentioned earlier, it will be -- agencies will have
- the opportunity to order single services or a
- 21 multitude of services. We want this to be a complete,
- 22 all-inclusive type vehicle where there will be
- 23 flexibility to do, you know, individual services as
- 24 well as multiple services.
- For the period of performance, again I know

Mary mentioned this earlier, so I'll just briefly

cover it. We are seeking for a period of performance

that is intended to be a 10 to 12 year term. That is

base including options, and it is for the parent

contract, so understand that task orders will have

their own regulations as far as how long their term

can go, so their, their, their term will be basically

based on their agency's policies. So it could be your

The scope and requirements. We talked about this a little earlier, but this is for Zone One, so all the services and everything provided will be under the Zone One area. So coverage, industry will have to be able to provide coverage for the entire area of Zone One. And later when we talk about the evaluation factors, we'll get into how we're going to look into getting that information and ensuring that the coverage can be provided for the entire area of Zone One.

general, what you usually see, your five year term or

sometimes agencies can go for longer terms, so the 10

to 12 year will just be for the parent contract.

Talking about the performance objectives.

Mary mentioned this earlier, but we have two separate service groups, so you'll hear us refer service group, service groups, and the two separate service groups

- are defined as Operations and maintenance and Facility 2
- support Services. And there's a list of services that
- 3 you saw a little earlier, and each service -- each
- service group has a list of services that are required
- 5 and services that are optional. So the required
- services, now these are the required services that
- industry will have to provide, so it's not -- on the
- task order basis, customers can -- they don't have to
- require these services. It can again be the
- 10 individual services or it can be the multitude of
- 11 services. But on the base contract, the industry will
- 12 have to provide all of the services that are required,
- and then they can also provide services that are 13
- 14 optional, so we'll go to the diagram again on the next
- 15 slide.
- 16 Again, I know Mary talked about this earlier,
- 17 but I just wanna kind of highlight this 'cause you'll
- 18 see this a lot and you'll hear this a lot, so we just
- kind of wanna make sure that it's understood. 19
- 20 have the two service groups. Again, Operations and
- 21 Maintenance and the Facilities Support. So under the
- 22 Operations and Maintenance, we've identified the
- 23 required services under here. So you have your HVAC
- 24 maintenance, your plumbing and pipe fitting, your
- elevator maintenance, your electrical maintenance, and 25

then the optional services as Mary mentioned earlier 2 So as you see, you have your fire alarm system 3 maintenance, your fire suppression water based system, preventative maintenance, roofing services, building 5 management services, architectural and framework building maintenance services, commissioning services, elevator inspection services, and we've also put the services that fall under the facility support, it is an optional service under the Operations and 10 Maintenance. We wanted to be able to, again, offer 11 that complete solution, so this kind of covers the whole ram of your complete facilities maintenance 12 13 under one service group.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, we understand that services are broken out, and so that's why we have the separate Facility Support Services. So those cover -- your required services are your janitorial services, your landscaping and grounds maintenance and your optional services cover your cemetery maintenance, your pest control, your waste management and recycling services. All these services had been identified. They have a full definition description of all the subservices that fall under that category, and understand that most of -- we try to capture most of the services that fall under that. We've sent this out through an RFI.

- We've gotten feedback from industry as well as our commodity team.
- 3 So just note that in each service category,
- 4 it says services include, but not limited to. So
- 5 there could be a service that falls under, let's say,
- 6 HVAC maintenance that we didn't mention in the
- 7 definition. That doesn't mean it necessarily is not
- 8 included in that scope. So at the task order level,
- 9 it can be defined and it could potentially be in the
- scope of that service category. You'll find a
- 11 complete description of all the services under -- in
- 12 Section C of the draft RFP. Next slide, please.
- Our favorite topic, other direct costs. This
- has come up in many of our meetings. How are we gonna
- 15 tackle this? How are we gonna do it? And as you guys
- 16 know, the agencies, they handle this a lot of
- 17 different ways. Some agencies have varying
- 18 thresholds. Some agencies include this, you know,
- 19 based on a labor hour type solution. So what we
- 20 wanted to do, we knew that we could not create one
- 21 complete solution that would just be -- that would be
- okay, acceptable for every agency. So what we're
- doing is this is the way we're defining the other
- 24 direct costs. I'm just gonna go through the
- definition. Other direct costs are costs relating to

incidental services and/or supplies that do not fall within the scope of any other service category listed under the BMO acquisition vehicle. These services and supplies, however, are necessary to complement the contractor's offering to provide a comprehensive solution, so the ODCs will be handled how the agencies currently handle it. So if an agency has a threshold saying that, well, ODCs are included at the \$2,500 threshold, we're allowing at the task order level for agencies to input their own policies and language regarding other direct costs.

So services that are not within scope. I'm just gonna go through this list. But basically, these are the services that we've identified that do not fall within the BMO scope. So these are — the first one is the energy management and water conservation. These are — I want to make sure we highlight this 'cause we're saying well, you know, in plumbing there are a lot of water conservation methods and things. So this is directly related to the reduction of the water usage, so it's not saying don't put your energy management services into one of the other categories listed, but this is services directly related to that. It also gets into services such as telecommunication services are not included. Equipment owned and

- 1 operated by government agencies. Furnishings are not
- 2 included. Equipment owned by servicing public
- 3 utilities, upgrade of software or software licenses
- and computers and IT equipment as well as personally
- 5 owned equipment, so these have been defined as
- 6 services that do not fall within the Building
- 7 Maintenance and Operation scope.
- 8 That is Section C. So you can -- in the
- 9 draft RFP, you will be able to find more information
- 10 and more detail on the definitions. We also list like
- a list of definitions of terms you'll see in the --
- 12 throughout the draft.
- So moving on to Section D, your package and
- 14 marking. This is just a standard section, and what we
- do is we just ask that you conform to your standard
- 16 commercial standings, and then we just make a note
- that additional language can be added at the task
- order level. So if an agency has information that
- they need pertinent to like the packing and marking,
- they will put that information in. Next slide.
- 21 Inspection -- let me say that slowly -- and
- 22 Acceptance. We're using the FAR Clause 522464 for
- inspection of services. And again, this is another
- 24 standard section that additional language can be added
- in at the task order level. We understand that this

- is a broad scope in that, you know, agencies may have
- 2 their own methods of inspecting and acceptance, so we
- definitely allow the flexibility at the task order
- 4 where they can put in their language here. Next
- 5 slide, please.
- 6 So moving on to Section F. Section F
- discusses the place of performance, period of
- 8 performance, performance standards and deliverable and
- 9 reporting requirements. Some of the -- again, this
- 10 highlights it. You're gonna see it multiple times.
- Zone One, that's the places of performance, so for the
- 12 entire area of Maryland, Virginia, District of
- 13 Columbia, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, West
- 14 Virginia and Delaware. That's where the actual
- performance will be performed in the zone area, Zone
- 16 One areas. Period of performance, so this -- we've
- noted in the contract that the period of performance
- will be from the notice of proceed through five years
- 19 with one five year option. Now, this may change based
- on what the deviation receiver will be. So if it's a
- 21 10 year deviation, it will probably be a 5 year with
- one 5-year option. If it goes 12 years, it will be a
- 5 year, a five year option and then another two year
- option. So that language will be finalized in the
- 25 final RFP, but just note that this may vary from the

1 draft.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Section G talks about the contract 3 administration. This is where you'll find your information about the roles and responsibilities. You'll find what is a CO. What are we defining as CO? Just so we know that we're gonna -- you'll see two different terms. You'll see CO, and you'll see OCO. The CO is the BMO Contracting Officer. That's me. Patrick, raise your hand. That's Patrick. 10 OCOs will be the ordering contracting officers, so 11 basically our customer agencies that will be placing orders. So when you see those terms, know that the 12 13 BMO is for the parent contract, and the OCO will be 14 for the task order. It also defines other roles, like 15 what the core roles are and various roles that will be 16 required to administer this contract. 17 We also talk about the CAF fee. CAF fee, 18

We also talk about the CAF fee. CAF fee, which is the contract access fee. The contract access fee will be the total of two percent of the fixed price or the estimated price and will be proposed as a separate contract line item number. So as you've probably seen in many of the other FSSIs, this is standard language. This is a standard two percent fee that will be charged for the services. So you've see this probably more than once, and so this is just,

1 again, standard language.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 BMO reporting modules. We've noted that with 3 FSSI, as Walter mentioned earlier, one of the elements of FSSI is to be able to report and have the -- you 5 know, to know what we're tracking so agencies can see, you know, different reporting functions. gonna be asking for reporting information, and some of that reporting information will include task order award data. We wanna know -- you know, get a break 10 down of that. We wanna know the data for the 11 variations of contract type, so we wanna want know what they're ordering. Are you doing mostly firm fix 12 price contracts? Are you doing any time and material 13 14 type contracts? We'll be asking for your task order 15 modification data. What is being modified? We'll be 16 looking for information on your award data.

We'll also be tracking the sustainability products data, so we'll wanna know, you know, the sustainability elements that are included in these orders that you're placing out. We wanna know the CAF payment data. We're also looking for closeout data. So when you're closing your task orders out, we're gonna be looking for varying information on closeout data, and then we also wanna know information regarding the subcontracting plan data. So all

- this -- all these reports will, you know, keep us
- accountable, make us understand our buying habits and
- 3 which will in turn create, you know, better buying
- 4 habits, will create behavioral changes and allow us
- 5 to, you know, give the best vehicle possible.

6 Section H. Section H provides information on

- 7 general information for your special contract
- 8 requirements, so anything that is special to this
- 9 contract. So we're looking at things such as your
- 10 federal holiday observance, working hours, ordering
- 11 procedures, how we're identifying NAICS and product
- 12 service codes, accounting system requirements, special
- plans, meetings, marketing, things of that nature.
- 14 You'll be able to find this in Section H. So for your
- ordering procedures, as I mentioned earlier, we're
- asking that all task orders under BMO basically be
- solicited and awarded by an OCO. That's your ordering
- 18 contracting officer with a delegation of procurement
- 19 authority, and more language will be provided on the
- 20 BMO web page as well as we will be providing training.
- 21 GSA will be providing training to agencies on the
- delegation of procurement authority.
- We're asking that all task orders be within
- the scope of Section C and all the other terms and
- 25 conditions under the BMO contract. We are asking to -

- you identify that BMO labor categories and service
  - occupational codes as we discussed a little earlier.
- We're asking that all the awards be solicited and
- awarded under the proper NAICS code, and we'll go
- 5 through that in a little bit. We're also asking that
- 6 the corresponding -- you place the corresponding MA
- 7 IDIQ contract number. We're wanting to make sure that
- 8 all the proper product service codes are identified,
- 9 and we have pre-identified that list, and that is an
- 10 attachment in the RFP as well, and we're asking you to
- 11 comply with the ordering procedures under FAR Sub Part
- 12 16.505 and any other applicable agency requirements
- that are listed. Then we're also asking in addition
- to the sub -- it's actually a Sub Part under the
- ordering. We're looking to comply with the Fair
- 16 Opportunities Ordering Procedures that are identified
- in Sub Part 16.505, so we want you to get familiar
- 18 with that. Again, I know that this is an open market
- 19 procurement, and we're using some of the ordering
- 20 procedures identified FAR Par 16.505, so we definitely
- 21 want you guys to get familiar with that.
- 22 Moving on to the NAICS. So we discussed a
- 23 little bit about the NAICS, and what we're gonna do is
- just identify the NAICS, so we've talked about our two
- 25 services groups. So we have our Facility Support

- 1 Service, and we have our Operation and Maintenance.
- Our NAICS, we have identified the NAICS that
- 3 correspond to our service groupings as well as the
- 4 individual services under that service grouping. So
- 5 we have two separate service groupings, and we have
- 6 NAICS that span across 18 different NAICS codes under
- 7 the Operations and Maintenance and Facility Support
- 8 Services, and we've identified the small business
- 9 standards. We've identified that basically all of our
- services kind of fall under one small business size
- standard, so we'll go ahead and go to the next slide.

This is the Operations and Maintenance

13 primary NAICS codes. So as you see, again, you can

see the primary NAICS standard is the 561210, and you

see that that's basically the primary NAICS that we're

16 identifying for the BMO vehicle. Now, at the task

order level, depending on the service that they are

selecting, the ordering contracting officer, the OCO

19 will select the predominate NAICS code. So as you

see, for instance, elevator maintenance may be the

21 primary NAICS code. So you'll see the varying size

22 standards. Again, we've correlated the varying

23 services listed under each category as well as the

NAICS codes that correspond to that service category.

25 And next slides.

1 And this is the Facility Support. And as you 2 see, we've identified that the -- and this is 3 something that we're really looking for feedback on as well. Once we did the research and kind of making 5 sure we're reading through the correct NAICS, it seems like all of our services on both sides fall under the one NAICS, the 561210. So if you have any additional feedback on this particular subject, please feel free 9 to provide us that information. But we've done the 10 same thing with the facility support as the previous We've corresponded all the services that fall, 11 all the NAICS that fall under that particular service 12 13 category.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So GSA will establish a BMO web page for the purposes of informing our customers, stakeholders and the general public the attributes of the procedures of BMO. The web page will include information such as the key personnel point of contact, the list of contractor names, numbers, delegation of procurement authority process for the ordering contract officer. We will have training, BMO training. There will be ordering guides so agencies will know how to go about ordering from the vehicle. They'll be sample templates. We'll have a statement of work templates and things of that nature. They'll be a scope review

- 1 process so we can, you know, identify, you know, how
- 2 it comes up as is that really in scope or not.
- 3 They'll be statistical information, also links to
- other mandatory website for reporting purposes, and we
- also put the list of contractors that are not eligible
- due to certain things like being in a dormant status,
- 7 and we'll talk a little about that later.
- 8 Minimum task order awards. So starting from
- 9 the date of the notice to proceed, the contractor
- shall obtain a minimum of five task orders or a total
- of task order estimated value of \$100 million. Now,
- this particular requirement is definitely gonna be in
- the unrestricted solicitation, and we're looking for
- 14 feedback on that as well. But for the small one, this
- requirement may vary, so we're looking for feedback on
- both. We're just wanting to make sure that we are
- asking for, you know, the appropriate amount in the
- value, so we're looking for feedback again on this
- 19 one.
- Section H talks about on-ramping, dormant
- 21 status and off-ramping, and I'll go into each one.
- 22 On-ramping, so the purpose of on-ramping is basically
- 23 to ensure that the BMO vehicle will have an adequate
- number of contractors to meet the government
- 25 requirement under BMO. So we understand that, you

- 1 know, through time, things may fluctuate. Maybe the
- 2 service size has changed, and the numbers may
- 3 fluctuate, so we want to allow the flexibility to make
- 4 sure that we have the adequate number of contractors.
- 5 And so in order to do this, we go through the process
- of on-ramping.
- 7 There are three different types of
- 8 on-ramping. The first type is vertical on-ramping,
- 9 and vertical on-ramping is the process where a small
- 10 business no longer certifies as a small business and
- they've been moved to a large business and they go
- through the process of being on-ramped, and it is in
- 13 the RFP. It's the requirements will be stated on that
- 14 process, but some of the general requirements
- 15 basically is -- basically when the contractor has
- outgrown their small size standard on the basis of
- natural growth. So we'll identify that, so this can't
- be done based on a joint venture or a merger
- 19 acquisition or a novation. This is where a company's
- business size has changed based on natural growth, and
- 21 they have -- make sure that they have demonstrated
- 22 successful performance under the BMO small business.
- They meet all the evaluation criteria, and they will
- 24 actually submit a proposal in response to the
- 25 solicitation that will be an identical version of the

Page 59

- BMO unrestricted solicitation. So you can find more important about the vertical on-ramping and the
- 3 requirements and the procedures under Section H.
- 5 on-ramping. The government will reserve the right to

The next type of on-ramping is open season

- determine if it would be in the best interest to
- 7 initiate an open season to add additional contractors.
- So we may find that there may be a need just that
- 9 maybe our service areas are growing or we have another
- 10 agency that made a large commitment, and we may
- 11 determine that more contractors need to be on this
- vehicle. So to do that, we would use the open season
- on-ramping.
- The next type of on-ramping is the a focus
- on-ramping. Now, the focus on-ramping would be a sub
- 16 pool creation. So maybe we've -- you know, we're a
- 17 couple years into the contract, and maybe we've
- determined that one of our optional services should be
- 19 a required service or there needs to a total new group
- of services under the scope. So we would create a sub
- 21 pool and could possibly on-ramp new vendors and as
- 22 well as add the existing vendor under that new sub
- group. So should that occur, the focus on-ramping
- 24 would take place. Sorry.
- 25 So dormant status. Dormant status is if the

- 1 BMO CO determines that the requirements of the BMO are
- 2 not being met, the contractor could be placed on
- dormant status. Dormant status may be activated for a
- 4 given BMO group that has been awarded, and their
- 5 information on what the dormant status involves. But
- if it is activated, the contractor will not be
- 7 eligible to compete in any subsequent task order
- solicitations. However, if they're currently in, they
- 9 would be able to perform under their current awarded
- 10 task order solicitations, and that include like the
- options as well. So dormant status, however, will
- only be impose after careful consideration of the
- situation, and there will be strong collaboration with
- 14 the BMO CO before this determination has been made.
- So there will be an opportunity to correct any
- insufficiencies, so that would happen before a dormant
- 17 status would occur.
- And then off-ramping. Off-ramping basically
- is where GSA, we're gonna reserve the unilateral right
- to off-ramp non-performing contractors. And
- 21 contractors that are off-ramped, again, they will no
- 22 longer be able to receive task orders and they --
- 23 contractors under more than one BMO service group will
- 24 be off-ramped for the service where the non-performing
- 25 contractors have issues -- issues have occurred.

Next slide. Section I. Section I is just 1 2 your contracts clauses. These are the contract 3 clauses you've probably seen with varying complete facility maintenance contracts, so this is where you 5 can find the complete list of the contract clauses incorporated by reference and the ones in full text. 6 You know, understand that these clauses can be tailored at the task order level. Because we have varying -- we're offering varying contract types, some 10 of the clauses will be -- need to be added at the task 11 order level. As you know, for time and material, there may be a different clause, and so you'll find 12

Section J. This section is where you're gonna find all your attachments, so every attachment that will be incorporated for the draft RFP will be under Section J. We are -- a few of the attachments have already been published with the draft. You've probably seen the notice of public and interested offers. You've seen the public notice of the industry day just announcing this event. We did list the standardized labor categories and definition because we want feedback on that and we want you guys to get

your full list of clauses. Just understand that at

the task order level, additional clauses can be

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

incorporated.

- familiar with that. And then we've also listed the
- 2 list of the BMO product service codes that
- 3 corresponds. You will see additional attachments such
- as additional personnel qualification that we're
- 5 looking for, sustainability product list that we're
- 6 looking for, checklist to make sure that the proposal,
- 7 all the information is submitted to help you guide
- 8 through the proposal process.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Self-scoring worksheets. You will be asked to self-score yourselves based on the evaluation criteria that will be listed. Pre-award surveys, past performance rating surveys. We'll get a little bit into the requirement when we talk about the evaluation factors, but this is where the template will be. price template will be in this section. We will give a template for the relevant project experience so we can ensure that you're providing all the information that we're looking for. This subcontracting plan template will be in there. And again, as Janet mentioned earlier, this will only be a requirement for the large businesses, and the template is an optional template. It's a GSA template. You guys have probably seen it. You can use, you know, your own template. You know, just ensuring that you have all the information that is required of the subcontracting

- plan, and then will we also include varying reporting requirements.
- Section K. Most of you are probably familiar

  with this. This is just a standard section where you

  will have to completely fill out your representations

  and certifications so all -- because this an is open

  market vehicle, we need a full list of your reps and

certs.

- 9 Section L. This section, you'll probably 10 refer to a lot when you are creating your proposal. 11 This section is going to give you information on the instructions, conditions and notices to offers and 12 respondents. So this is where you're gonna see all 13 14 your provisions, all the FAR and GSAR provisions, the 15 proposal format. We will have more detailed 16 instructions on how we want things labeled. You know, 17 what we're asking you to submit, page limitations, 18 format, things of that nature. And then so this 19 section, basically you'll just refer to and we'll put more information in as we finalize the RFP. 20 21 section, please.
- Section M. This is the section I get -- most
  of you wanna know, like how are we gonna be rated.

  These are -- Section M will cover the evaluation
  factors. Section M is gonna discuss the basis of

1 award. This basis of award will be based on a best

value continuum for awards, so we're gonna be looking

at the highest technically rated offers with fair and

reasonable prices. So we're gonna be asking for a

5 variety of core expertise and a variety of services

6 that are most important to the government, and we'll

go through that list. We intend to award contracts

8 without discussion, so we're looking for your best

9 offer up front. The government may conduct

10 clarification. So if we see fit that, you know,

there's a clarification item we're looking, we may

12 contact you to clarify item of your proposal, and we

do reserve the right to, to conduct discussions if

determined necessary, so that option is there.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we will -- the BMO evaluation team will perform a two-step screening process for all offers received. First, we're going to verify that the support documentation exists. We're looking for all of the documentation and for all the evaluation elements in accordance with the proposal checklist. So the proposal checklist will, again, be a guide so you can go back to make sure that you submitted the varying elements that we're looking for. And once that happens, then we will compare all of that

information to your self-scoring worksheet that you

- will see as an attachment as well. And then any
- discrepancies in that process will be treated as
- 3 clarification, so we will, you know, contact you to
- 4 clarify if anything is wrong.

5 And then once the screening process has been

6 completed, the evaluation team will assign a

7 preliminary score in accordance with the scoring

table, and that section will be incorporated in the

9 final RFP, so you will know how you're being scored,

10 you know, what the top scores are available for

offers. And then once the preliminary scoring is

complete for all offers, the BMO evaluation team will

sort the offers from highest score to lowest score for

each service group. So again, there are two separate

15 service groups. So the scores will be based on the

16 O&M and the Facility Support Services, and then we

will be developing a competitive range based on that,

and so the offers that fall within the competitive

19 range will move on.

So what are we evaluating you on? There are

four sections in the evaluation factors. Section 1 is

just a general information. We're just gonna be

looking, you know, verifying that everything is

complete within your standard Form 33. We're gonna be

looking for and making sure that the checklist has

- been completed. We're gonna look at your self-scoring
- worksheet, and then you'll find information in that
- 3 section if there are meaningful relationship
- 4 commitment letters and existing joint venture and
- 5 partnerships, so you'll find information in the
- 6 evaluation factors. But if you've created these prior
- 7 to award, these will be accepted, and so we would just
- 8 ask that information up front. Next slide.
- 9 So the Section 2 of the evaluation process,
- this is your responsibility section, so we're looking
- for all of the responsibility elements. So your SAM,
- which is the System for Award Management record, which
- 13 was previously known as your CCR, and it also will
- include your excluded parties list, so we're just
- asking for a copy of that. We're gonna be verifying
- 16 your reps and certs as referenced in Section K. We're
- gonna be verifying all the financial information that
- you've presented us from your GSA Form 527, and then
- 19 we're also gonna be verifying the information that
- you've given us for the pre-award survey that's
- 21 referenced on the form -- Standard Form 1408.
- 22 So Section 3 of the evaluation factors
- references the technical experience. So in the
- 24 technical experience, we are looking for your relevant
- 25 project experience. What we're looking for here. We

want three different distinct projects per service 2 officering, so these projects can cover a single or 3 multiple services. So for instance, say you're, you've giving us a project that may cover HVAC 5 services, electrical maintenance, and so we -basically, we just ask you to identify each service 6 that the project is getting. However, if you only 8 have a project that covers one service category, you 9 can also present that, but we need three per service 10 category. So for all the services that you're offering, we need three per service category. And 11 again, it could be a project that includes all of 12 these service offerings, and it could be a single 13 14 project, so we're looking for three. These projects 15 have be -- must be have completed within the past three years, or they may be ongoing within the year. 16 17 And these projects may be -- they may be Federal 18 projects, U.S. Federal Government projects. They may 19 be state government or they can be non-government 20 commercial projects. 21

Each project, this is what we're looking for for these projects. We wanna know the building type. What type of building was it? We wanna know the size of the building, the square footage. We wanna know how many stories are in each building of that project.

22

23

24

25

- 1 We wanna know the services covered relevant to the
- 2 scope of services in Section C., so we want you to
- 3 clearly identify the services that -- of the project
- that are correlating to the services that you're
- 5 proposing. We wanna know the period of performance.
- 6 How long was the project? You know, if it's still
- ongoing, how long is the project? The total dollar
- value of that project. We're looking for how many
- 9 employee -- trade skill employees were they for that
- 10 particular project, and we're also looking for the
- 11 number of exempt positions employed for that
- 12 particular project.
- We want this project to be a complete, clear,
- 14 concise detailed statement of the description of
- services covered in the project. We wanna know how
- 16 you provided these services. We're not looking, you
- know, a restatement of, you know, what the government
- is looking for. We're looking for in this project how
- 19 did you complete these services. You know, were there
- any obstacles that you came up and how you tackled
- 21 those obstacles, so we're looking for that information
- for the relevant project experience. Next slide,
- please.
- So I guess let me go back a little bit. One
- 25 think that I didn't mention. So in the evaluation

- factors, there are certain elements that will be rated
- as a pass-fail requirement. And so you can go -- when
- 3 you go into the RFP, it will say this element is a
- 4 pass-fail requirement, meaning that you have to
- 5 provide us this. Otherwise, you're not gonna be
- 6 considered. However, there are other factors that --
- 7 where you can receive additional criteria or
- 8 additional evaluation credit for factors. So for the
- 9 relevant project experience, you're gonna be able to
- 10 receive additional evaluation credit if the project
- 11 was a U.S. Federal Government customer, if you're
- 12 presenting us with the relevant project that involves
- 13 subcontracting and teaming with small businesses so we
- can ensure that, you know, the small business goals
- are being met. If you're offering optional services.
- Because we want this to be a complete,
- 17 comprehensive solution, if your company is offering
- some of the optional services, there will be
- 19 additional evaluation credit received. Also, if the
- 20 project that you're presenting has an extended
- 21 contract duration, meaning over three years. We're
- looking for, you know, the longer projects. You're
- 23 gonna be able to receive additional evaluation credit.
- 24 And if your project has a large -- a larger square
- footage. So projects over 20,000 square footage, you

- will be able to receive additional evaluation credit.
- 2 And you will be able to find more information in
- 3 Section M of the evaluation factors on the varying
- factors that are pass-fail as well as the ones you
- 5 will get additional project -- I mean, additional
- 6 credit for.
- 7 So Section 3 also talks about the project
- 8 location. So I know you've heard more than once we're
- 9 looking for Zone One. So how do we, you know, ensure
- that your company can provides coverage for the entire
- 11 area of Zone One? What we're looking for is a list of
- 12 projects. Now, we don't want a comprehensive project,
- 13 relevant project experience where all of the project
- information is spelled out. We're just looking for a
- list of projects, and we will identify, provide
- 16 guidance on what we're looking for, but we're
- basically just looking for the list of projects for
- 18 areas for all of Zone One. So we wanna know, you
- 19 know, if you did a project in DC, if you did a project
- in Pennsylvania. We're looking for that list of
- 21 projects. So you may be thinking well, what if my
- 22 company -- what if I had -- can provide coverage in
- that area, but I have not done a project yet? We are
- giving a deviation for that. So if your company is
- not -- maybe doesn't have a project in that area, but

- 1 you know that your company can provide coverage, we're
- looking for a narrative for you tell us how you will
- 3 be able to provide coverage because we want to ensure
- 4 that coverage will be provide for the entire area of
- 5 Zone One.
- And so in this element, this is a pass-fail.
- 7 The project location is a pass-fail requirement.
- 8 However, you can get additional evaluation credit in
- 9 this area as well. So if your projects, meaning the
- relevant project experience that you submitted covers
- 11 multiple locations listed in Zone One and you've
- identified that, you know, this project was coved in
- DC and, you know, part of this was done in New York,
- 14 additional criteria can be listed. So when you're
- thinking of the project that you're wanting to submit,
- 16 think of those elements that could give you the
- 17 additional evaluation credit. Next slide, please.
- 18 Section 3 also asks for a staffing plan.
- 19 This is really general. You've probably seen this in
- several government contracts. We're basically just
- looking for you just to spell out the roles and
- responsibilities for the employees. We're also
- looking for your professional compensation plan. We
- just want to make sure that we ensure that this
- addresses the offer's methodology for determining your

- salaries, your fringe benefits, professional employees
- in preparation for your future task orders. So you
- 3 will get guidance in this section on the elements
- 4 included for these plans, and all these requirements
- 5 are pass-fail. And then we also are looking for your
- 6 uncompensated overtime policy, and every company
- 7 should just be able to provide us with information on
- 8 how you're addressing that. So again, you can find
- 9 more information in this section.

Section 3 of the evaluation factors also asks

for the subcontracting plan. I know I've mentioned

this a little earlier. This is only gonna be a

requirement for your large businesses, and it's

- 14 basically -- again, you can use the format that we
- provide, and you guys are already familiar with this.
- So if you don't use the form -- I mean, the template,
- then we just basically ask that you make sure all the
- information is provided from the requested
- information. This section outlines everything, so you
- 20 will able to find more information on that. We're
- 21 also looking for a sustainable plan. As you guys
- 22 know, we have several executive orders, you know,
- 23 requiring certain sustainability factors, and so we
- 24 want to ensure that, you know, we are meeting those
- 25 requirements. So we want to know your approach

- towards implementing and promoting sustainable
- 2 practices in all of the BMO services that you're gonna
- 3 be offering. And then if you're doing anything
- different, if you're doing practices above and beyond
- 5 the minimum requirements -- and we have identified all
- 6 the requirements in this section. But if you are
- 7 doing anything above and beyond in the sustainability
- 8 element, additional credit can be given here as well.

9 And then we also are going to ask for your

- 10 quality control plan. This is where we want a
- description of your internal review process. We wanna
- 12 know your quality standards. We wanna know how you
- tackle, you know, different issues, how you are
- 14 following up making sure that the quality -- that
- adequate quality is being provided for the task
- orders, so we want to know that. And again, this
- section will provide the detailed description of what
- we're looking for from your quality control plans.
- So Section 3 also wants your past performance
- information. What we are asking for. We want past
- 21 performance surveys for the relevant project
- 22 experience submitted. So we're looking for that, so
- 23 we -- we'll have a past performance survey that we
- 24 will -- in our attachments, so we're looking for that
- past performance, and then we also will verify the

- 1 PPIRS system, Past Performance Information Retrieval
- 2 System. And then if past performance information is
- 3 not available, then we will -- you will get a neutral
- 4 rating for past performance.
- 5 So the final section of the evaluation
- factors is price. So basically, you know, we've
- discussed that we're looking for -- we're gonna be
- 8 looking for firm fix pricing, hourly rates for all of
- 9 the Bureau of Labor Statistics standardized labor
- 10 categories that we're presenting. And we basically
- 11 will provide you a template on the information that
- we're looking for, but we want to know the minimum
- rate. This is an area that we, you know, really want
- 14 feedback on as well. We understand that because of
- this zone, this zone has varying collective bargaining
- 16 agreements. We know the SCA comes into play. We're
- asking for a breakdown of the base rate, and so we
- 18 also want to know like your health and welfare rates.
- 19 We're looking for the overhead. We're looking for a
- 20 breakdown of your G&A profit and the fully burdened
- 21 rate. So we're gonna be asking you to break out these
- 22 elements and we'll be, you know, also asking for
- feedback. Is there different ways that we can look
- 24 into, you know, dealing with like collective
- 25 bargaining agreements and so forth.

1 We're also wanting to know your government 2 and commercial price for these labor categories. 3 we understand that we are offering the standardized labor categories, so we're looking for the labor 5 categories that -- basically that are similar to what we're looking for in our standardized labor category 6 So what you're offering commercially, we're looking for that comparable hourly rate of the labor 9 category. And then we also will be asking you for 10 your tier pricing. As far as the FSSI program, you 11 know, we look into finding ways to, you know, provide savings and discounts, and so we will be asking for 12 your tiered pricing. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that is the last section, believe it or not, of the draft RFP. So I'm going to turn this back over to Mary, and we'll get into the questions. Thank you, guys.

MAN: Can I just say one thing off of what

Josilyn said real quick? Again, my name is Patrick.

I work with Josilyn on all of the contracting stuff.

Eric, can you go to the service grouping slide? I just wanna say one thing to make sure it's clear to everybody. So we mentioned there's gonna be two -- we mentioned the two service groupings. We mentioned there's gonna be two solicitations. I don't know if a

- 1 lot of you were thinking, but if both solicitations
- and both service groupings can have all the services,
- 3 why are there two? I just wanted to make sure that
- was clear. Again, operation and maintenance will be
- 5 one solicitation. That will be the unrestricted one.
- 6 The -- okay. I apologize.
- JOSILYN REED: Thanks for bringing that up.
- 8 It is a confusing concept. There will be two
- 9 solicitations that cover both service groups, so the
- 10 service groups will -- under each solicitation, there
- 11 will be an operations and maintenance and there also
- 12 will be facility support services. You can either be
- under one service group, so basically meaning that
- 14 your company could only provide services for either
- the operations and maintenance or your company can
- 16 provide services for the facility support. And if
- your company provides all of these services, you would
- simply apply for the operations and maintenance, and
- 19 you see the facility support services are listed as
- 20 optional services. The two solicitation will be based
- on an unrestricted pool and 100 percent small business
- 22 set-aside, so those are the two different
- 23 solicitations. So I'll turn it over to Mary, and
- 24 we'll get into the questions. And if anything is
- 25 confusing, we definitely try to cover that, get those

- 1 covered.
- 2 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Let's go ahead and go
- 3 to the next slide and talk about some next steps. So
- 4 what's happening? You've heard Josilyn say the draft
- 5 RFP closes April 17th. Now, what does that mean? You
- 6 also heard Josilyn talk as she went through the
- 7 different sections. You know, we want your feedback
- 8 on the whole draft RFP, but obviously, there were
- 9 certain sections, and I know Josilyn called them out.
- 10 We really want your feedback on these particular
- sections, so that what it means by the draft RFP.
- We're requesting your feedback -- and again, we need
- that by April 17th, and this information then will be
- 14 considered. Josilyn, Patrick, the team will take a
- look at it, and then we will see what we can
- incorporate into the final RFP.
- 17 Now, we do expect to release the final RFP in
- 18 May. Yes, that is very aggressive. But, you know,
- 19 you heard Mary talk. She's holding our feet to the
- 20 fire on this one, so we're really trying to get that.
- 21 And what does that mean? We are expecting award in
- 22 September, so our goal is to award by the end of this
- fiscal year. Okay?
- Now, the only thing -- the only thing that we
- 25 really have left to kind of cover through this morning

- 1 is we do have our question and period. I think  ${\tt I}$
- 2 mentioned earlier we're gonna break that up into two
- different sections. Janet's gonna come up. She's
- gonna read through and answer the questions that can
- 5 be answered at this point that we have, and I think
- 6 there was over 80 questions on the list. We kind of
- 7 grouped them by sections like Josilyn when she went
- 8 through the draft RFP, and then we're gonna actually
- 9 open it up to the floor so that you can ask your
- questions. Now, we're not guaranteeing answers.
- We'll answer what we can at this point. We may have
- to do some research. There may have be something
- else. But again, we will get those questions answered
- and post them to our Interact site.
- Before we get into the questions and answers,
- we're gonna take another short break. How about we do
- 17 about 10 minutes, and then we come back and then we'll
- wrap it up with all the questions and answers? So
- 19 I'll see you back in 10 minutes.
- 20 (A short break was held.)
- 21 MARY SNODDERLY: We have given you a lot of
- information today, haven't we? But here is maybe part
- of it you've been waiting for as well, the question
- and answer period. So what I'm gonna do is I'm gonna
- invite Janet Schweer to come up again and go through

- the existing questions that were given to us prior to
- the event, and then I'm gonna come back up and we're
- gonna kind of open it up for questions. When we do
- open it -- and I'll state this again. When we do open
- it for questions, we ask that you state your name and
- 6 your company. This is so our court reporter can get
- 7 everything, and then we'll ask the panel up here to
- 8 answer the question. So right now, I'm gonna ask
- 9 Janet to come on up.
- JANET SCHWEER: Thanks, Mary, and we
- 11 apologize for not having the questions up on the -- I
- think Mary said earlier we have like 80 some
- questions. We don't have the answers all typed out
- and real pretty yet, but they'll be on the Interact
- site after we complete our circuit and we have hit all
- 16 three places. Some of these have -- these are the
- questions, again, that we received ahead of time, so
- they may have been answered already in our session.
- 19 I'm still gonna go through those kind of quickly. And
- like Mary said earlier, some of them we don't have
- answers to. We have to research, but I'm gonna read
- 22 the question anyway just so -- if it was your
- 23 question, you can know that we have it down and we're
- 24 gonna answer it.
- We did get a few questions about, you know,

- 1 BMO FSSI is very similar to Schedule O3FAC. The
- 2 question was why do contractors already on the O3FAC
- 3 schedule have to compete to get on this solicitation.
- Well, the easy, black and while answer is this is a
- 5 different solicitation. This is a different contract.
- 6 There are different terms and conditions, as Josilyn
- went through, versus what you would have gone through
- 8 to get on the O3FAC solicitation. The ordering
- 9 procedures for schedules are based on Federal
- 10 Acquisition Regulation Part 8. These are gonna be
- 11 based on FAR Part 16. There's different contract
- ordering types for the BMO vehicle versus what can be
- used at the schedule level, so there's a lot of
- 14 differences. And one of the other key differences, of
- 15 course, is that the schedule is for nationwide
- 16 delivery. This is only for Zone One, as Josilyn
- 17 emphasized, so it's a completely different
- solicitation. We can't just roll over, you know, your
- 19 proposal from a different contract into a new
- 20 contract. And as Mary stated earlier, it's not a BPA
- 21 off the schedule, so that might have been part of the
- 22 confusion in that question, as some of the other FSSIs
- 23 are.
- The next question we received was has it been
- determined how many federal agencies will be required

- 1 to utilize this BMO contract. If so, can you provide
- the names of the federal agencies that will
- 3 participate? In the future, will it become mandatory
- 4 for all federal agencies to utilize this BMO contract
- 5 since it will save government funding?
- 6 So the first question was has it determined
- 7 how many agencies will be required to utilize the BMO
- 8 contract, and the easy answer to that is at this
- 9 point, it's not mandatory. It would be up to each
- 10 individual agency if they wanted to make using this
- 11 vehicle mandatory over the other internal control
- vehicles that they had. Most FSSIs -- and Walter can
- correct me if I'm wrong, if not all, I don't think any
- of them are mandatory. Are they?
- 15 WALTER ECKBRETH: No, none are mandatory.
- 16 Some agencies have made certain solutions mandatory,
- but none are mandatory government-wide.
- 18 JANET SCHWEER: So we don't know how many
- 19 agencies could use it. It's open to -- it's a
- government-wide vehicle, as Josilyn said.
- So in the future, will it become mandatory
- for agencies to use this? Based on previous history,
- 23 no, probably not. But again, at the agency level, if
- they decide that this is a good use of their time and
- a good way to save money, they can make it mandatory

- 1 at the agency level.
- 2 The next question was as are common types --
- I think it's supposed to be common contract types
- 4 applied to major O&M work functions? Example,
- 5 preventative maintenance is commonly firm fix price or
- 6 material for service calls are commonly reimbursable.
- We talked about this earlier. Josilyn mentioned this.
- 8 The vehicle will have different -- will allow for
- 9 multiple contract types in order to offer maximum
- 10 flexibility for the customers, and the customers can
- 11 choose which contract type of combination of them they
- want to use at the task order level.
- 13 I'm sorry. I didn't mention this earlier.
- 14 We are going through these in order of the RFP, so I'm
- going though Section B where they kind of refer back
- 16 to. The next couple of questions are based on the
- 17 Contract Access Fee, the CAF, CAF. The question was
- can more detail be provided as to what the percentage
- of the fee is going to be set at. So I'm not sure if
- this company missed it in the RFP, but the CAF is set
- 21 at 2 percent. And then the next one was will the CAF
- 22 be capped at a certain percentage either by task order
- or by term of the contract? Again, it's 2 percent.
- 24 That's the maximum.
- The next question was about the labor

- 1 categories and the standard occupational
- 2 classifications. A large number of facilities that
- 3 could possibly use the BMO FSSI operate under a
- 4 collective bargaining agreement. How will that affect
- 5 task orders issued under the contract, since any
- 6 established labor rates or compensation would not be
- 7 recognized by the unionized work force. As most of
- 8 you are probably aware as contractors, you would be
- 9 required to pay the collective bargaining rates that
- 10 are already in place at that facility. As Josilyn
- 11 mentioned in the pricing section in Section M, we're
- 12 looking for ways to account for the Service Contract
- 13 Act, the Davis Bacon Act, collective bargaining
- 14 agreements. There's a variety of different pricing
- regulations that everyone is gonna be subject to that
- 16 covers multiple geographic areas.
- One of the ways we're trying to account for
- that is by looking at the breakdown of the prices.
- 19 Everybody's gonna be using the same required minimums,
- 20 whether it's based on Service Contract Act or it's
- 21 based on collective bargaining agreements at a
- 22 specific facility. That part's not gonna change.
- 23 What's gonna change is the overhead, the G&A and the
- 24 profit. So we're looking for a streamlined, easy way
- for you all, for us and for the customers to -- we

- 1 have to do a price analysis at the parent contract
- level, and then the customers, of course, have to do
- 3 it at the task order level. So suggestions on that in
- the pricing area, as Josilyn mentioned, we're happy to
- 5 take. We're looking for ways to do an objective
- 6 comparison, apples to apples comparison of the pricing
- 7 knowing that everybody's gonna be paying the same
- 8 minimums.

2.1

22

23

24

re-evaluate it.

9 Next question. Under the firm fix price 10 contract task order, will GSA unilaterally change the CAF as noted in B16? And no, the CAF will not change 11 at the task order level. We did look back at that 12 13 language and thought we could probably clarify it a 14 little bit more, so we will be looking at that in the 15 draft to clarify. Under -- the next three questions are kind of all the same. Under cost reimbursement 16 task orders, will GSA unilaterally change the CAF? 17 18 Under incentive task orders, under time and materials 19 labor hours task orders, will GSA unilaterally change 20 And the same answer; no, it will not change

We had several questions about the period of

it would be at the task order level.

at the task order level. We do have language in the

RFP that says GSA may periodically look at the fee and

That was not intended to convey that

performance, a couple that just said explain further 2 the 10 to 12 year period of performance. As Mary and 3 Josilyn both mentioned, we are going to be seeking a deviation to regulations 'cause currently you should 5 only -- customers should only be issuing a five year contract for services. What we didn't really talk 6 about, I don't think, was the reason we're seeking that deviation to make our parent contract longer. 9 The reason for that is, as most of you know, a lot of 10 these contracts are one base -- you know, one year 11 base period, four 1-year options. If we have an 12 agency that just issued a bunch of contracts, you 13 know, this year, they're not gonna be ready to maybe even move those to this vehicle for another four 14 15 years, so we wanna make our parent contract as long as we can to give agencies time to transition, if they 16 17 want, to ordering from the BMO vehicle. If we were 18 only looking at a five year contract period, we 19 wouldn't be able to capture a lot of those potential 20 contracts. 21

One of the other questions was about the base period and option period, and Josilyn mentioned that. If we have 10 year period, we would have a 5 year base and one 5-year option. If we had 12 years, it'd be a five year base, probably one 5-year option and one

22

23

24

25

1 2-year option.

We discussed this briefly. When does GSA expect to issue subsequent RFPs covering additional zones? And Mary mentioned we're in the process still of defining those zones and a timetable for rollout.

And then follow-up question was does GSA expect the RFPs for the other zones to be structured like this one? Yes, we do broadly expect them all to be structured similarly, but we're still in the market research phase. As I mentioned earlier, we do have to look at the differences in spend in different areas. The zones aren't based necessarily on GSA's regional zone, but they could be, so we have to look at what states make sense to kind of group together both — and that's where we would want feedback from industry as well as customers of, you know, where does it make sense to make a zone? You know, we obviously won't take states up from here and states from down here and make one zone. We wanna make sure it makes sense, so we're still researching that.

Similarly, since this initial RFP is to cover Zone One, will contractors be required to go through the same submittal process several times to cover all future proposed zones? Yes. That's probably not the answer a lot of people wanted to hear, but yes, they

would because they would be independent contracts.

2 The next question -- I'm sorry. 3 Section C. This is specifically about the services not within scope section. What is the plan for the excluded services, which in some cases are inherent to the current facility operation? If you remember, Josilyn went through the section that had services that were excluded. For example, you know, maintaining personal appliances. Like if there was a 10 microwave in the break room, you know, contractors wouldn't be maintaining that. So this is asking 11 12 that -- saying that sometimes those excluded services 13 are inherent to the facility operation. The list that 14 we have was developed based on current customer 15 requirements that, that were shared with us. If those excluded services are desired by the customer, they'd 16 17 have to be procured outside of the BMO vehicle in a 18 separate acquisition. 19

Within the operation and maintenance scope of services, elevator maintenance is a required service. Since this is a very unique service, most contractors utilize a subcontractor to perform the maintenance and service calls associated with elevators to keep the cost down. If a contractor consistently utilizes elevator subcontractors to perform that type of work,

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Page 88

would this be seen as having the capacity and
capability to perform that required task. And that
answer is yes. If you are the prime contractor and
you've consistently overseen work that's being
subcontracted out in various areas, we would consider
that as capability to perform. Of course, you'd have
to submit the relevant project experience and all of
the information required by the RFP to show that.

The question is does this preclude a BMO contractor from utilizing a subcontractor to fulfill services requirements. So the answer is no, there's nothing in our draft RFP that's intended to restrict the use of subcontractors.

So this gets back to where we kind of left off when Josilyn was done. Can proposal responses include only one service category or do all contractors must submit for both categories, O&M and Facility Support Services? So responses can include -- Eric, can you bring up our service groupings slides again? Thank you. There we go. So Josilyn, maybe I should let you explain.

JOSILYN REED: So first of all, I apologize for the confusion on this. So we -- what we're looking at is we're looking at two separate service groups, so you have your operations and maintenance

Page 89

```
and your facility support services. We, we understand
```

- 2 that a lot of companies provide -- under the operation
- and maintenance that they don't always provide the
- facility support services as well. So what we're
- 5 looking for -- you can either come in under the
- 6 operation and maintenance or you can come in under the
- 7 facility support services. It's one solicitation --
- two solicitations, but two different service groupings
- 9 under that solicitation. You're either gonna say I'm
- 10 gonna apply for services under the operations and
- 11 maintenance or I'm gonna apply for the service group
- for facility support service either in the
- unrestricted solicitation or in the 100 percent set
- aside solicitation. So I'm hoping that that's pretty
- 15 clear, but there's -- I know that we're gonna take
- open questions. But on this particular question, are
- there any questions on this?
- 18 JANET SCHWEER: So there will be two
- 19 solicitations. One will be for the unrestricted. The
- other one will be for the small business set aside.
- Both solicitations will have all of these services.
- 22 JOSILYN REED: Correct. Okay, great. Thank
- 23 you.
- JANET SCHWEER: Thank you, Josilyn. One
- 25 question was please define required services and

- optional services. So the ones that are required, you
- 2 must offer in order to be considered qualified. If
- 3 for some reason your company only does commissioning
- 4 services and that's just an optional service, you
- 5 wouldn't be qualified necessarily to submit a proposal
- 6 underneath this. You'd have to -- you can be part of
- 7 the solution as a subcontractor with one of the primes
- 8 that can do the required services.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Although each site will vary, are there standard or common demarcation points for O&M infrastructure functions? We weren't sure on that one. We have taken that one back, and we will

research it with our commodity team.

And several of these are similar. How are system equipment warrantee items covered under the BMO O&M? That's one of the specific requirements that would be to be determined at the task order level by the ordering CO, and that would be in their specific requirement that they would advertise when they're going out for quotes. How will LEED EB O&M certification goals, rating level and performance period be determined along with what role will the BMO contract play in the process? And again, those are specific goals and rating levels that could be determined at the task order level depending on the

1 requirements of the customer and their buildings. We

2 had another question about if elevator maintenance

3 could be subcontracted, and the answer is yes. We had

a question about what is the estimated percentage of

5 vertical conveyance equipment that is considered

proprietary. Again, that would be specified at the

task order level. Are there any high voltage

8 requirements associated with BMO maintenance and

9 repair? Again, it would depend on the facility, so

10 that would be specified at the task order level.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We kind of just covered this, but we had a couple questions. If contractors only provide one of those services, you know, for example only provide pest control services, how could they participate in And the answer would be it would have to be at a subcontractor level. We had a question about -- as you can see up here, we have fire suppression systems maintenance and repair. It says water based, so we did get a question about if it would contain new non-water based system, and the answer is no. the maintenance and repair threshold for roofing That would be determined at the task order repairs? How will exterior lighting of walkways and parking lots be covered? That would be at the task order level. Will any government-furnished space be

- 1 provided for pest control operation at the sites?
- 2 Again, these are very specific questions that would
- depend on the facilities that were issuing -- you
- 4 know, ordering the services, so those would have to be
- 5 specified at the task order level.
- 6 What is the anticipated range of other direct
- 7 cost purchasing thresholds? Josilyn addressed this
- 8 earlier. We've outlined in the RFP that those to be a
- 9 specified by the ordering agency in the task order.
- Our goal there is to provide maximum flexibility for
- 11 the customer and for you all, and the goal is for them
- 12 to continue procuring the other direct costs in a
- method that make sense for them.
- 14 Are all contractors required to input data
- into the CMMS or will GSA manage the data? Again,
- that would be determined at the task order level.
- 17 What are the common BMO contractor requirements for
- 18 CMMS operations, and that would be determined at the
- 19 task order level. What are common authorization
- approval methods for determining stock level and
- 21 considerable reimbursement invoicing? We're not gonna
- define this process as with some of these other
- 23 questions. Because this is going to be a government-
- 24 wide vehicle, you know, we have created the frame
- work. There's gonna be a lot of requirements that are

- specific at the task order level. If we get too
- specific at our level, then it can be restrictive for
- 3 the agencies that are trying to use it.
- 4 What is the common time period for the BMO
- 5 contractor to validate this inventory? Is this
- 6 inventory maintained in the CMMS? Is it the
- 7 contractor's responsibility to maintain the inventory
- 8 once it is validated? That's the same answer as
- before. We're not gonna address that at the parent
- 10 contract level. It would be defined at the task order
- 11 level. Will workload data from each site be available
- for this function? Again, to be determined at the
- 13 task order level. Will there be workload data
- available for unscheduled preventative maintenance
- tasks. At the task order level, that would be
- 16 determined. What are the common thresholds for
- 17 non-reimbursable repair? That will vary by agency, so
- 18 we can't dictate that in our solution. It would just
- 19 be described by the ordering contracting officer. How
- service calls -- how are service calls prioritized,
- and what are the common response completion times for
- 22 each classification of call? Again, that depends on
- your site. It depends on the facilities and kind of
- your geographic location. That will be determined at
- 25 the task order level.

I think we've gotten through most of the ones

where the answer is to be determined at the task order

level, and moving on just a general question just

addressed a minute ago. Is this RFP written for the

unrestricted BMO? Yes. The draft RFP that is out

right now is intended to be a draft for the

unrestricted part. We may tailor it, make some small

changes for the one that's for the small business set

aside.

Will the offer be required to have a bonafied office in Zone One? No. Will there be an 8a set aside for this contract? No.

We had another question about the period of performance. How does this 10 year period of performance align with the period of performance identified in Section C-2? I think we discovered a couple points yesterday where we may have referred to a 10 year period of performance here or a 10 to 12 year period of performance there, so we're going to go back through and clarify that. It'll all be standard in the same, in the final. There was a section about industrial operations analyst. I think it's in the definitions section that talks about contractor assistance visits. For those of you who are scheduled contractors, you're familiar with these. There was a question about how frequently those will occur, and

- 1 we do not know at this time. Follow up question was will
- 2 there be a standardized review process for that. Yes,
- 3 there would be a standard process. We can't tell you
- exactly what that looks like at this time. Because this
- 5 is a new vehicle and it's not based on schedule or BPA
- schedule, we'd have to come up with what the review
- 7 process would include for that.
- 8 Back to the contract access fee. Since the
- 9 fee amount is billed to the customer at a rate of 2
- 10 percent, where is the savings to the customer? Yes,
- it's true, there is a fee that goes to the customer.
- 12 All government-wide vehicles include a fee to cover
- 13 their program costs. Schedules has a fee. The GWACs
- have a fee. Other FSSIs also have a fee. We expect
- not only -- I think Walter mentioned there'd be
- 16 savings in the pricing. You know, we have a specific
- zone. Customers are encouraged to aggregate their
- 18 requirements to try and realize savings, and then we
- 19 also expect administrative savings to be realized.
- 20 know Walter mentioned this. You know, we should have
- 21 reduced contract lead times. They should -- ideally,
- 22 customers will be issuing fewer contract actions.
- 23 Can the contractor charge a G&A fee to the
- 24 customer for the time and effort for all the reporting
- 25 that will be required as part of invoicing all in CAF

- 1 payments? No. Language indicates five years with
- option to extend. Does this refer to the task order
- or the main BMO contract that previously mentioned 10
- 4 to 12 years? That refers to the main contract.
- 5 Again, if it's unclear, that's one of the places where
- 6 we said 10 and not 10 to 12. We're gonna clarify
- 7 that.
- 8 This is from Section H. It's talking about
- 9 the minimum task order awards or estimated value. It
- says is the maximum total estimated value for the base
- 11 period \$100 million? It seems like there might have
- been confusion on this. There's no maximum ceiling.
- 13 The area that talks about the \$100 million value --
- and Josilyn, I don't know if you want to try to
- explain this -- that's what we're looking for in terms
- of minimum --
- JOSILYN REED: Minimum.
- JANET SCHWEER: Task order.
- 19 JOSILYN REED: So basically when we're
- determining the option, we're looking for you to have
- 21 at least five -- that's a section where we're looking
- for you to have at least five task orders or task
- orders that totals \$100 million. So it's basically
- just a minimum task order that we're looking at, and
- they'll be used for the purpose of when we're

- determining the option.
- JANET SCHWEER: Thank you. So there's no cap
- or ceiling on the entire vehicle where we get to a
- 4 point and then it's, it's done.
- 5 There was a question about the numbering
- 6 referring in Section H, so we're gonna review the
- 7 numbering and it'll all be different. Not completely
- 8 different, but we're gonna make sure that everything's
- 9 cross-referenced correctly. We talked about the
- 10 minimum task orders and the estimating value. There
- was a question about the conflicts of interest
- section. Would you please clarify the first paragraph
- 13 under the header, and I didn't have that. This is the
- 14 conflict of interest language. I remember what the
- 15 question was. It talked about being a prime. If you
- are a prime contractor on this vehicle, could you be a
- 17 subcontractor to another prime performing work on the
- same one? And it says that is acceptable.
- 19 What is the process or time frame for
- issuance of government IDs? Again, that would be
- determined at the task order level. There was a
- 22 question about -- under the dormant status section
- about what other requirements to be considered high
- 24 performing. We couldn't find the language that said
- high performing in that section. The requirement

- 1 would be just to be in compliance with the terms and
- 2 conditions of the contract. The intent of the dormant
- 3 status is to make sure that all of the contractors
- 4 that are on this vehicle are active and participating.
- We don't wanna get into a situation where we have 10
- 6 contractors who have never been on anything, so that's
- 7 where -- that's what that is there to help.
- 8 Another question asked about a schedule for
- 9 the open season and what the evaluate factor
- subfactors that are referenced. We don't have a
- schedule for the open season. That's something that
- would be depending on the needs of the program, as
- Josilyn mentioned earlier, and the evaluation factors
- would be the same as the original RFP.
- The next is from Section L. Since the offer
- has to submit only one proposal to compete in both
- service groups, will this also be true to compete in
- additional zones. Yes, we imagine that would be true.
- 19 As we mentioned earlier, we are intending to have
- similar -- basically the same structure. We may have
- 21 to make slight changes depending on the needs for the
- agencies in the zone. But yes, it'll be also true.
- 23 Will there be separate criteria written for the small
- 24 business pool with regard to past performance
- 25 requirements? For example, will the two projects per

- 1 state of Zone One requirement apply to small business
- 2 applicants? Josilyn, I don't know if you wanna
- address this. I think what we discussed was if you
- 4 industry have feedback on that, we would like to hear
- 5 it.
- JOSILYN REED: Yes, we are. We are
- 7 identifying varying elements that, you know, may not
- 8 apply to the small business, so this potentially could
- 9 be an element that we, you know, may reduce the number
- 10 on and perhaps look into that. So this is -- like
- Janet said, we're looking for, you know, feedback. If
- small businesses feel this is, you know, an evaluation
- factor that, you know, something that can't be met,
- we're looking for that kind of feedback, so there will
- be a variation of evaluation factors that may vary
- 16 between the two different solicitations.
- JANET SCHWEER: Also, keep in mind for this
- answer that if you don't have a list -- as Josilyn
- 19 mentioned earlier. If there's not -- you don't have a
- list of projects for each state, you can also submit a
- 21 narrative to explain how you would cover offering
- 22 services in those areas.
- 23 Does GSA have an estimate of how many awards
- 24 per IDIQ contract will be made? We said our goal is
- to make enough awards to provide sufficient

competition at the task order level. We have to make

sure that our customers are getting enough bids on

each task order they're putting out so they can meet

their competition requirements. Today we don't

necessarily have an estimate, but we will have that

when we release the final RFP of how many contract

awards there will be.

We moved onto Section M now. There was a comment that there was no mention of mentor-protege relationship, and can an explanation of what is allowable under a mentor-protege relationship be provided in the same detail as subcontractors, affiliates and divisions? So we're gonna be researching that, and we'll have that updated in the final.

We had a couple questions about joint ventures that we're gonna try and clearly explain here. One was company A and company B form an SBA mentor-protege joint venture. Company A is the protege and managing member of the joint venture. The joint venture is awarded several contracts over the years. So it's existing. As Josilyn was mentioning earlier. The joint venture's already existing. Can Company A use the contracts that were awarded to the joint venture for relevant project experience? So if

only Company A was submitting under this vehicle,

could they use the experience of the joint venture?

And our answer to that was yes.

Kind of a similar question. For an SBA approved joint venture, is the requirement that all of the previous contract submitted as relevant experience or past performance must have been performed by the joint venture? We're gonna research that. I'm not sure if we clearly defined that in the draft, so we're gonna research that and see if we can more clearly define it in the final as far as if it is a joint venture, what is acceptable use of past performance. We address -- will the small business applicant be required to submit a subcontracting plan? No. Only other than small would be required to submit a subcontracting plan.

Past performance. Why are past performance surveys required if information on contract is available in the PPIRS or CPARS database? Again, for those of you who may not be familiar with those, those are the reporting past performance systems that the government uses to enter information about completed contracts or some of them ongoing. So shouldn't the requirement be if the CPARS does not exist, then you have to provide a past performance survey? In some of

our discussions with customers, we learned that
sometimes they require both the CPARS and a separate
past performance survey. It's possible that the past
performance survey may ask questions that you may be
able to find answers to in the CPARS, but we'll take
those into consideration and see if we need to revise
those requirements in the final.

I believe Josilyn covered this earlier. To clarify, can one project be used to cover both service groups, O&M and the Facility Support Services, and that answer would be yes. You would need to make sure that you're telling us which specific services are included in that project. So if you had a large project and you provided everything that's up on that board, that's fine. That could be one project for all of that. Make sure that you're telling us what services that you're intending that to include. Don't just tell us oh, I provided complete facilities maintenance for five buildings in Virginia. We need to know what specific services were provided.

Again, we had some other questions about the project locations, and there was some confusion about how many projects were required. Again, we're not saying that you have to have -- we have to have three for each service. But if you have three projects that

- 1 cover all of these services, then you only have to
- 2 submit three projects. But if you have three that are
- 3 HVAC maintenance and one for each of the other ones,
- then you'll have to submit three for each of the
- 5 service groups. Trust us. We don't wanna review any
- 6 more than we absolutely have to either. So if you
- have some that cover more, that's awesome.

8 Regarding the deviation of project location

9 request, can you elaborate on what would be required

10 to demonstrate an offer's capability to provide

service coverage for Zone One? So Josilyn mentioned

that if you don't have or can't show that you've done

projects in all of the states, two projects in all of

the states, you can provide a narrative to explain to

us how you think your company can cover or provide

16 service in all those areas. We're gonna look into

17 that and we'll see if we can provide some further

definition of exactly what we're looking for and would

19 be evaluated as part of that in the final.

14

Please clarify the role of resumes. Are you

looking for resumes of corporate personnel? Please

define what and who are key personnel. We're gonna

look into this some more. We think we used some

language from existing customer requirements, but

likely it would be employees in management positions

1 over the key areas.

We're almost done with the ones we received ahead of time, I promise. How will this initiative impact our current GSA contract? So this is from a current GSA Schedule 03FAC contract holder. 6 mentioned, this is a completely separate contract. It's a completely separate requirement. 8 different contract ordering types available. 9 you're familiar with Schedule 03FAC, this does not 10 cover everything that's offered on Schedule 03FAC. 11 They have probably eight other energy services 12 categories. There's dry docking services. 13 application of chemical compounds. There's other 14 services that Schedule 03FAC covers. And of course, 15 it is a nationwide contract. So right now, this is 16 only for Zone One. As far as impact to a current GSA 17 contract, we really couldn't tell you for sure. 18 mean, it would be up to the ordering contracts office 19 whether they want to continue to procure those 20 services via schedule or if they wanted to move it to 21 It would also depend, obviously, on where they 22 were located. This isn't gonna be available in Texas 23 by October, you know, so it's kind of hard to gauge 24 that without a more specific knowledge of, of this 25 company's current service under that.

Will we have to modify our current GSA

contract to conform to this initiative? No. This is

a separate vehicle. You have to submit a separate

proposal to be evaluated and competed. Will federal

agencies still procure janitorial services alone off

of GSA Schedule 03FAC? They will still have the

ability to do so. Again, it's up to the ordering

agency to determine what best meets the needs for that

agency and how they want to procure those services.

This company says since we only provide janitorial service, will we have to team up with other contractors who provide the BMO services that we do not provide? This is kind of a tricky answer. Yeah, if you only provide janitorial services, you're not gonna qualify. You have to have at least two of the required services. As far as teaming up with another contractor, it kind of goes back to Josilyn's statement about a joint venture. You'd have to have a joint venture in place in order to submit a proposal. It's not like schedules that have teaming arrangements. If there's more specific questions on that later, we can try and answer it. Otherwise, that's the best answer I can probably give right now.

Are schedules of deductions determined by the site or is there a common BMO standard? This would be

- determined by the site at the task order level. There
- 2 is a question about any protest language covered in
- 3 the RFP, and Josilyn assures me this is in Section 1.
- 4 Sorry, Section I. It's already in there.
- 5 Do you have to be on the Schedule 03FAC to
- 6 submit a proposal under the solicitation or is this
- open to everybody? Again, no. This is not a BPA off
- 8 schedule. This is open to everyone, as long as you
- 9 can meet the service requirements. Okay. That does
- it for the questions we received ahead of time, and
- now we're gonna open it up. Mary?
- MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Let's open it up. I
- see Joe, your hand went up very quickly. What --
- again, please state your name and your company so we
- can get it on record, and then we'll pass it along to
- the panel to answer the question.
- MAN: Jerry Tate, Rosemark. We're a small
- 18 business. Is there any concern that -- the feedback I
- 19 get, I noticed a lot of decline in GSA schedule large
- 20 contracts. There's a lot of decline in what's coming
- out. And I don't know if the revenue dropped or not,
- 22 but the feedback I get from agencies is that they feel
- like they're paying way too much or the contractor's
- 24 paying the fee and they have to pay a fee to the
- government, and yet I see that you've raised the fee

- from .75 percent to 2.5 percent. Is there a concern
- 2 that you're raising so many fees here that this
- 3 vehicle will not be adequately used?
- 4 MARY SNODDERLY: Anybody want to field that
- 5 question? I'm not sure who on the panel. Josilyn?
- 6 Walter, you may have something to add as well.
- JOSILYN REED: Well, I know that -- I think
- 8 the .75 you mentioned is for the schedules program.
- 9 But typically with the Federal Strategic Sourcing, the
- 10 standard has been the 2 percent. And so I -- you
- 11 know, I know that research has been done to determine
- that this fee was reasonable, a reasonable fee in
- accordance with, you know, to run the programs, and
- the savings included with, with the program will
- offset the fee. Walter may be able to provide a
- little more information with this.
- 17 WALTER ECKBRETH: I'm not sure the 2.5.
- 18 Yeah, the big difference between especially the
- 19 Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative contract
- vehicles and the schedules, there's a lot more
- 21 intensive management of all the contracts, day to day
- 22 contract management that we don't necessarily have in
- 23 the schedule world. So it's more it's more expensive
- for us, whatever agency is running it, to operate.
- 25 And to the question of, you know, might the fee impact

Page 108

- 1 usage, certainly if, if the vehicle doesn't have a
- value to the agencies, if the pricing -- if the
- 3 pricing out of the box isn't good, you know, agencies
- 4 probably will not use it. I mean, that's part of the
- 5 evaluation that Josilyn's team is gonna have to do
- once they get through all the technical hurdles.
- 7 They're then gonna have to look at the pricing, and
- 8 they're gonna have to compare the pricing against
- 9 current government pricing. Thankfully, we've got the
- 10 commodity team sharing some of their current contract
- 11 prices. You know, it's a hard balancing act. If the
- value's not there, then we probably have spent a lot
- of time to ask ourselves do we go forward and have
- 14 contracts that people aren't gonna use, but we think
- there's a lot of value to the program, the data that's
- there for the agencies on their usage and the value
- that we're seeing there.
- 18 MAN: Can I ask a question of a question?
- 19 Gaghan Mechanical out of Washington, DC. That fee
- that you're talking about, can it be funded -- say,
- 21 for example, it's common in commercial business to
- 22 early pay discounts, sometimes up to 2 percent. Can
- it be funded that way if we deal with the government?
- 24 Can you back fund it?
- MARY SNODDERLY: That may be something we

- 1 have to research.
- 2 WALTER ECKBRETH: I mean, this is
- 3 something -- it's built into your pricing and it's --
- for all intents and purposes, it's invisible to the
- 5 customer. I, I, I don't -- you know, agencies aren't
- 6 gonna pay earlier --
- 7 MAN: No.
- WALTER ECKBRETH: -- in advance.
- 9 MAN: I'm just wondering if it's something
- that can be an avenue that opens it up to others. It
- opens up more contracts to those are a little leery
- about paying fee to be able to use this avenue of
- 13 getting contracts.
- 14 WALTER ECKBRETH: From a customer
- perspective, I mean --
- MAN: I mean, basically --
- 17 WALTER ECKBRETH: From a customer perspective
- appropriation law, they can't pay for things until
- 19 service has been rendered.
- MAN: I understand that.
- 21 WALTER ECKBRETH: From a contractor, that's a
- 22 direct pass-through. As you do billings, you receive
- the money, and that's when it's due to be remitted to
- the government.
- 25 MAN: I was just thinking of another option

- 1 that may be out there.
- 2 MARY SNODDERLY: I saw some other hands go
- 3 up.
- 4 MAN: James Conniff, Fire and Safety America.
- 5 Will you guys be sharing the list of attendees for
- 6 each of these industry days?
- JANET SCHWEER: We'll talk about it. I
- 8 haven't thought about that yet.
- 9 MARY SNODDERLY: We will discuss that and
- 10 make sure that -- I think we just want to make sure
- 11 that it's okay for everybody to share who is here. I
- mean, we just -- we'll talk about it. We'll let you
- 13 know. We'll have to find out whether we can do that
- or whether we have to keep it internally. I seen --
- yes, sir.
- 16 MAN: I'm Mike Ford with Crockett Facility
- 17 Service. How will this be promoted to the agencies,
- 18 the BMO buying format?
- MARY SNODDERLY: Oh, that's a very good
- question. I think maybe I can even partially answer
- that one. Actually, it's gonna be done a number of
- 22 different ways. One thing that you heard us talk
- about, our interagency commodity team that we have,
- and that's part of their job is to promote within.
- 25 Okay? We are going to prepare an ordering guide. We

- 1 are gonna have training sessions that will allow --
- and Josilyn, I think you mentioned the delegation of
- 3 authority. What -- for an agency to utilize the
- 4 contract, this is very similar to a GWAC. They're
- 5 gonna have to request delegation of authority, which
- is gonna require some training, so -- and then we have
- our whole customer service network that GSA has out
- 8 there. Obviously, we will train them, and they will
- 9 be out promoting the program. We have our websites.
- I mean, there's just a number of different ways that
- 11 we will go out and promote.
- 12 WALTER ECKBRETH: One other piece in there.
- 13 Also, Management and Budget is looking at as part of a
- 14 new initiative for category management identifying
- 15 best in class contracts. Office of Management and
- 16 Budget is starting to go agency by agency and identify
- specifically in the Federal Strategic Sourcing
- 18 Initiatives identifying with them what their current
- 19 spend level is as opposed to what it appears the
- agency's budget or addressable spend is and is going
- 21 agency by agency to ask okay, what are you doing and
- 22 what are you doing outside of established contracts.
- 23 You know, just one more effort to try and stem the
- 24 tide of duplicative contracts.
- 25 MAN: Say an agency in Zone One, they decide

```
to utilize the BMO. Will they handle the procurement
```

- or will they handle that and turn that over to your
- 3 team?
- 4 MARY SNODDERLY: They will handle the
- 5 procurement at the task order level. They will be
- issuing a task order against the parent contract.
- JANET SCHWEER: Or they could use the
- 8 assisted acquisition.
- 9 MARY SNODDERLY: Yeah. You want to talk
- 10 about that?
- JOSILYN REED: So the agencies can -- as Mary
- mentioned earlier, they can handle the task order at
- their level. Or if they want GSA to handle it, we
- have assisted acquisition services in varying regions
- to where they can basically procure these services
- through the assisted acquisition service.
- JANET SCHWEER: But no, it's not gonna go
- through us.
- MARY SNODDERLY: BMO team is not.
- 20 MAN: Dan McWhorter, Sodexo. The pricing
- 21 that you're asking for on the base contract on the
- proposal, is that maximum pricing levels?
- MARY SNODDERLY: I'm gonna let -- Janet's
- gonna answer that one.
- JANET SCHWEER: Yes, it will be maximum

pricing levels. If -- I think -- are you a schedule 2 holder too? It will be a little bit different than 3 that. You know at the schedule level, we have maximum fully burdened rates. And then if you're in an area 5 where the Service Contract Act wage determination is less, you would decrease your prices. We are not 6 7 intending to necessarily have such a setup with this because even if we have maximum rates that are based 9 on Service Contract Act, you get into a building where 10 you have collective bargaining agreements, you're 11 gonna be paying twice that easily for those minimums. So we have to come up with a way to account for that 12 whether it's just, you know, deciding on where the 13 14 G&A, the overhead and the profit are for your company 15 and then you're changing the base rates, you know, 16 depending on where you are, that's kind of -- I mean, 17 if, if anybody has a great idea for how this setup's 18 going to work. I mean, Josilyn and I have ideas of 19 what we think are gonna work, but we've talked to a 20 lot of customers about it, and they all tell us their 21 great questions. 22 So when you go in -- let's say you go MAN:

MAN: So when you go in -- let's say you go through the first round, you get awarded a contract and then you go to the task order level. What's required for the base contract in terms of pricing

23

24

25

- when you're pricing at the task order level? Is it
- 2 gonna be whatever you specified for you overhead and
- 3 your fee or is it the total max price or is it max
- 4 price adjusted by the wage determination?
- 5 JANET SCHWEER: I mean, so at the task order
- 6 level, your customers could be requesting, you know, a
- 7 lump sum amount. At our parent level, if we said
- 8 okay, you told us your G&A is 50 percent, your
- 9 overhead's 100 percent, your profit's 10 percent, then
- 10 basically those are the percentages you would be using
- 11 to come up with your task order level pricing whether
- 12 you're coming up with a lump sum amount, you're taking
- into account your labor categories. Walter, sometimes
- you have a better way of explaining this than I do.
- Do you have anything to add to that?
- 16 WALTER ECKBRETH: No.
- JANET SCHWEER: I mean, we're still kind of
- 18 working out some of the details on that, but that's
- 19 what we're imagining because we know the base rates
- are what's gonna change depending on where you are.
- 21 At the task order level, that ordering CO is gonna
- tell you what wage determination you're using or
- 23 determinations you're using. So if they're asking for
- labor hour or time material rates, then that's -- you
- would use that to come up with your labor category

1 rates.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAN: I would think your fee -- a lot of

times, your fee changes based on risk and the scope

that's within the contract. And so right up front,

you're asking the fee to be fixed when you really

don't know the scope that you're gonna be bidding on

in the future.

JANET SCHWEER: You don't know the specific scope, but you know these services. I mean, it's a general scope. If -- you know, if there's a situation, do we -- I think we might even have language in that that says if there's a situation at the task order level where's something that was unforeseen kind of in the general scope, something that would substantially increase risk, I think there's something in there. Maybe not. I remember seeing something about negotiating different prices for contingency factors. I mean, we'll take that into consideration. I know we've done research to say that really the profit shouldn't necessarily change unless it's a different profit center at your company, but we also have to have a standard way to evaluate pricing at the parent contract level. So that's kind of our dilemma is we have to have a way to make an apples to apples comparison and do a fair and reasonable price

- determination, so does the ordering contracting
- 2 officer.
- 3 MARY SNODDERLY: Jerry?
- 4 MAN: My next question will be a little
- 5 easier.
- 6 MARY SNODDERLY: Please, even if you've asked
- a question, please state your name and company.
- 8 MAN: Jerry Tate, Rosemark. I've been a
- 9 schedule holder for about eight years, and the most
- 10 confusing thing in the schedule for contractors and
- 11 contracting officers with task orders and BPA is the
- wage determinations. In this future BMO, will the
- wage determination increases and all wage
- determination issues, will that be handled by the BMO
- or the task order CO? There's a lot of confusion out
- 16 there in this whole matter, and how are you guys gonna
- 17 handle it?
- MARY SNODDERLY: We're gonna have to research
- on that one. And we'll, again, post -- questions we
- can't answer will be posted to InterAct, so we'll
- 21 definitely get that answered. Thanks, Jerry.
- 22 MAN: Follow-up question regarding wage
- 23 determinations. Are you guys anticipating in your
- final proposal to have a sample, here's a sample? How
- are we gonna bid a sample? Here's a sample building?

- 1 Are you going to have multiple wage determinations for
- all the counties? How are we gonna bid this process
- 3 as far as the wage determination process?
- 4 JANET SCHWEER: We're talked about doing a
- 5 sample building to have this -- you know, based on
- this, what would your pricing be. Yeah, we're talked
- 7 about doing that. We've talked to some of our
- 8 customers. I know that some of them do it that way
- 9 when they're trying to do an evaluation for delivery
- in a, you know, wide geographic area. So yes, we
- 11 welcome those. You wanna give us suggestions on how
- 12 you've seen it done? You're welcome to give us those.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Other questions?
- 14 MAN: Will the sample -- Mike Ford with
- 15 Crockett Facility Service. Will the building be a
- 16 fictitious building or will it be an actual building
- that a bid tour will be conducted and it will be real
- personnel, real services, real assessment of what the
- mechanical equipment in the building is or will it be
- 20 something that's fictitious?
- 21 JANET SCHWEER: I would say it will be --
- 22 well, not maybe fictitious. There wouldn't be a site
- visit or anything like that. We don't anticipate
- doing that, so it would be based on a description.
- 25 MARY SNODDERLY: Lots of hands going up. I

- saw the one here in the back, and then we'll come up
- 2 front here.
- MAN: Dan McWhorter. Can you clarify the
- 4 number of past performance surveys that would be
- 5 required? Is it for every project that you're
- 6 referencing for this whole list?
- 7 MARY SNODDERLY: We'll let Josilyn answer
- 8 that one.
- JOSILYN REED: So yeah, so we understand that
- 10 could be -- you know, we could get as little as three
- 11 projects or we could get as many as, of course, three
- per service offering. So in the RFP, it says I think
- 13 10 is where we cut it off. So if it's -- we basically
- are looking for, you know, no more than 10, you know,
- depending on how many projects you're gonna present
- 16 like if you're offering single or your project covers
- multiple, so we do cut it off at 10. And it states
- that in the draft RFP, so sorry for not mentioning
- 19 that earlier.
- JANET SCHWEER: And again, that's for the
- 21 past performance surveys, not for the projects.
- JOSILYN REED: Right.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Yes, sir?
- 24 MAN: Ray Cullen, Hensel Phelps. You've
- 25 mentioned that there's \$1.5 billion of addressable

- spend in Zone One. Is that on a 12 year, 10 year or
- 2 an annual basis?
- MARY SNODDERLY: Actually, I think that was
- FY '13 data. Annual, so that could vary. And it
- 5 was -- like I said, it was Fiscal Year '13, which
- 6 means that it was a certain year in time, so that
- 7 could vary by year, even. Yes, ma'am?
- 8 WOMAN: Marge McCann, Sustainable Facilities
- 9 Management. Several of your presentations have
- 10 mentioned task order solicitations. Is it your
- 11 expectation that the agencies will issue RFPs
- competitively under this prime contract or will they
- merely select one holder of the prime contract to
- 14 award something?
- 15 MARY SNODDERLY: I'm going to let Josilyn
- answer that one.
- JOSILYN REED: So to answer your question,
- basically yes. It is -- they will issue -- you know,
- 19 depending on their need, it would be an RFP or an RFQ
- 20 under the parent contract. So basically, it would
- 21 look that they would issue it to the companies awarded
- 22 under the parent contract. And I guess this is a good
- time to definitely mention that I wanna clarify the
- 24 parent contract versus the task order contract. So
- our parent contract is the BMO, so all the services

- listed under that you see in the scope of services.
- 2 And at the task order level, it will be, you know,
- direct to the actual what they're looking for. So
- 4 they may want, you know, only certain services. It
- 5 may be a direct lead to a building, so that is the
- 6 difference. But yes, your question is correct. It
- 7 will be that they will issue RFPs or RFQs under the
- 8 multiple or IDIQ contract.
- 9 WALTER ECKBRETH: I mean, and Josilyn
- 10 maybe -- Josilyn mentioned it early on when she was
- 11 talking that the ordering procedures are going to
- follow the Federal Acquisition Regulation 16.505. And
- so what 16.505 requires is that against a master
- 14 contract vehicle like this, multiple award contract
- vehicle that agencies must give a fair opportunity.
- 16 So yeah, basically everything over \$3,000, agencies
- are gonna have to go out and do a task order
- 18 competition.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Yes, sir?
- 20 MAN: Ray Cullen, Hensel Phelps. On the task
- 21 order competition, if a pool of contractors are in
- 22 the, say, non-restrictive but an agency has a
- 23 requirement, will they be going to the full pool?
- 24 MARY SNODDERLY: That's basically gonna be up
- to the agency.

- 1 JOSILYN REED: Yes, and that's another
- good -- so we've mentioned the two different
- 3 solicitations. The agencies will have the option to
- 4 determine their own set-asides. So if it's an
- 5 unrestricted, they'll go to the unrestricted awardees.
- If it's a set-aside, small business set-aside, they'll
- go to the small business. If they have varying
- 8 socioeconomic set-asides, they will determine that and
- 9 it will fall under the small business awardees.
- JANET SCHWEER: It's whatever best meets the
- 11 needs of the agency. They would determine that.
- 12 MAN: So the pool of contractors that are
- within whichever socioeconomic group will be invited
- to participate?
- JOSILYN REED: Correct.
- 16 MAN: Follow-up question to that is will
- there be a requirement of the BMO contractors to have
- a minimum participation or responsiveness to the task
- order requests?
- 20 JOSILYN REED: We've discussed that and we're
- 21 trying to find -- because we don't wanna give
- 22 requirements that we don't have a way to follow up and
- 23 provide quality control on. So we definitely are
- discussing that element, and that's something that we
- will follow up and clarify at a later time.

- JANET SCHWEER: And we don't want to drive up
- your bid proposal costs by requiring you to bid on
- 3 work that maybe just doesn't fit you for some reason,
- 4 so that's kind of why we're trying to --
- 5 JOSILYN REED: At this time, the requirement
- 6 that we definitely are seeking for is for the five
- 7 task orders or a task order that meets the \$100
- 8 million threshold by the determination of the option,
- 9 so that's what we're definitely evaluating at this
- 10 time.
- 11 MAN: And that's on the premise that the
- 12 agencies are gonna utilize this contract vehicle --
- JOSILYN REED: That's correct.
- 14 MAN: -- five years to allow that?
- JOSILYN REED: That's correct.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Jeff?
- 17 MAN: Jeff Johnson. There's a lot of
- conversation about the task order level.
- 19 MARY SNODDERLY: Can I ask you to speak up
- 20 loud?
- 21 MAN: There's a lot of conversation about the
- 22 task order contracts and then the main BMO contract.
- 23 If something is specifically excluded in the master
- BMO contract, can it be put into the task order
- 25 contract?

```
1 JOSILYN REED: So basically, as long as the
```

- 2 services are like kind of highlighted and defined
- within the scope, like if it falls -- let's say we're
- gonna use HVAC. Say we spell out all the services in
- 5 HVAC. However, this is a service that is not spelled
- 6 out under that description. But it is -- you know, it
- 7 falls under HVAC, so it would still be defined within
- 8 scope.
- 9 MAN: BAS. That's how we manage our
- 10 buildings. That's specifically excluded in this
- 11 master contract, but that's how we run these
- 12 facilities.
- JANET SCHWEER: It was a software part, I
- 14 think?
- JOSILYN REED: So the BAS is part of
- 16 maintenance in the building. Actually, we list in the
- definitions, like we list the BAS. But having -- like
- ordering the BAS system or like the actual software to
- 19 the system, that's excluded, not like the, the
- 20 management that is required in the BAS system.
- 21 MAN: Along with his question -- Bill
- 22 Koneyak. It was excluded was the energy conservation
- and water conservation, and we do a lot with that in
- 24 Region 3. Can that be added back into the task order?
- 25 JOSILYN REED: We will look at that,

- definitely. I think that's come up more than once,
- and we wanted to get away from like the actual energy
- 3 services. Like if you're familiar with the schedule,
- all the energy services offered under that. However,
- 5 we do realize that energy service methods are put into
- 6 several of the elements of our services, so I
- 7 definitely say we will go back and look at that one.
- 8 MAN: Dennis with Energy Engineering.
- 9 MARY SNODDERLY: Can you speak up, please?
- 10 MAN: It's Dennis with Energy Engineering.
- 11 Under the BMO, is there only going to be two awards
- 12 for two contractors?
- JOSILYN REED: No, no. It is -- there will
- be a multiple number of awards under each separate
- solicitation, so it will be a multiple award,
- 16 indefinite delivery, indefinite contract. We'll have
- a family of awardees that would be awarded. At this
- 18 time, the number has not been determined, but it will
- 19 be multiple awardees under each solicitation.
- 20 MARY SNODDERLY: That's at the parent
- 21 contract level.
- 22 MAN: To follow up on it, you're saying one
- small business and one large business?
- JOSILYN REED: No. We're saying multiple
- large businesses and multiple small businesses under,

- 1 you know, each -- basically each grouping.
- 2 MAN: Thank you.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. I saw some -- yes,
- 4 ma'am.
- 5 WOMAN: Marge McCann, Sustainable Facilities
- 6 Management. A very small point. In the projects that
- 7 are eligible to be cited, you list federal, state and
- 8 commercial. What happened to local government? And
- 9 if local government is eliminated, what do you about
- 10 the District of Columbia?
- JOSILYN REED: That is something we will
- 12 clarify. I think it's intended that state is local
- government, and so it could perhaps just be the
- language. It's not excluded, and we will definitely
- 15 clarify that language.
- WOMAN: Thank you.
- 17 MARY SNODDERLY: Yes?
- 18 MAN: Just a clarification. Dan McWhorter,
- 19 Sodexo. Just a clarification on the staffing plans
- and the key personnel associated resumes that you're
- asking for. So as I think I understand it is that
- you're looking for the staffing plans associated with
- the base contract and how you support that than any
- 24 staffing associated with the task orders, 'cause
- obviously it'd be in the task orders?

- 1 JOSILYN REED: That is correct.
- 2 MAN: Are you requiring or is it open whether
- 3 that's the dedicated personnel or not?
- JOSILYN REED: No, we're not looking for the
- 5 dedicated person. We're just looking for the role
- 6 that would be under that.
- 7 MAN: Thank you.
- 8 MARY SNODDERLY: Yes, sir?
- 9 MAN: Kevin, Gaghan Mechanical. I have three
- separate questions that may or may not belong on this
- 11 level. It's from experience in the past, and I don't
- 12 know how to go forward.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Could you ask one at a time?
- MAN: I will ask one at a time. First one,
- we have a problem with current jobs where we're always
- asked for additional task orders off the contract to
- get pricing, get pricing. It has to be priced right
- away. We turn it in. We bring subs in to price out,
- 19 and then they turn around and say well, it doesn't fit
- 20 budget and it's not funded. Therefore, it's not gonna
- 21 happen, and what happens is that it impacts our
- 22 relationship with our subs that we've worked with for
- 23 years because you get this bone thrown at you all the
- time and then they pull it away because they may by
- using the money somewhere else. So the point to that

1 being are we allowed to contact the COR or the CO and

- 2 ask whether it's funded or what the budget is --
- although that's a tightrope 'cause nobody wants to
- 4 know what it is -- but it creates a problem with
- 5 existing. And is that gonna continue to exist as we
- 6 move forward, even on this new platform?
- 7 MARY SNODDERLY: Sorry. We're all kind of
- 8 looking at each other. That's something we may have
- 9 to look at and address at a later time, and it may be
- something we can't necessarily address 'cause it's
- more at the task order level.
- MAN: And I understand, and I think that's
- where most of these questions need to go, but I just
- don't understand because my CORs are not very
- 15 communicative, which creates problems in trying to
- 16 determine. The second one, it was brought up in one
- of the earlier questions about the CMMS of who inputs,
- 18 whose responsible for it. We've been told that
- 19 everything's supposed to go to Maximo.
- 20 MARY SNODDERLY: That's a GSA contract. Now,
- 21 keep in mind, this BMO is government-wide. It's not
- just a GSA contract.
- 23 MAN: So that falls down to the task order
- level again?
- MARY SNODDERLY: Yes.

- 1 MAN: And last, another problem we've run
- 2 across. You talk about experience, and we're not --
- 3 we haven't been in the facilities management that
- long. We've probably only got two jobs up to this
- 5 point. But you ask for past experience, and we have
- 6 trouble with at least two of our contracts right now.
- 7 The CPARS are not going to the new COR. It's gone to
- 8 the old one. It's been ignored. We've brought it up
- 9 to the new one, and nothing's been done about it. And
- 10 push comes to shove, I've got no experience to show
- because somewhere there's a problem with the way the
- 12 government's handling it.
- JOSILYN REED: And that's why we ask for the
- surveys in addition to using the CPARS, and that's
- another reason why we're asking for both. I mean,
- 16 we're doing both. We're looking at the PPIRS as well
- as asking for surveys for the projects because we
- understand that there are glitches with the system
- 19 sometimes.
- 20 MAN: They're going to the wrong people.
- 21 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Other questions?
- 22 Jerry.
- 23 MAN: Jerry Tate from Rosemark. What's the
- 24 concept of the regionalization regarding the small
- 25 businesses in -- let me clarify my question. You

know, there are a number of small business companies
that are really reach out nationwide and may not have
two or three projects in every one of these region,
but they may have 30 contracts across the U.S., and
yet it seems like there's a real emphasis to try to
be -- what's the concept here? Are we trying to find
regional business or are I am off the chart here?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOSILYN REED: So in the beginning when we were building the solution, we were told by our customer agencies that we like to have localized That's meaning that if on demand we need to business. order something, you know, we usually deal with people that are local. And so we, we understood that. when we went out and sought industry feedback, you know, we also got a lot of feedback well, I can't provide coverage over the entire U.S., so that is how we created the zonal approach by basically satisfying the customer need as well as being able to, you know, respond to those small businesses that can't provide services across, you know, the other side of the U.S. And so however, this wouldn't preclude them from providing -- you know, when our next zone comes up, they can still, you know, offer services under that zone by, you know, being under that particular zone as well, so we're breaking it into a zonal approach to be

- able to make sure that the agencies can have that
- 2 localized support service that they're looking for.
- MAN: But you're not excluding groups that do
- 4 have -- small businesses that do have coverage across
- 5 the U.S.?
- 6 JOSILYN REED: Correct. We're not excluding
- 7 them.
- 8 MAN: But in the way you're weighting your
- 9 Section M, you are doing it because you're saying
- 10 you're giving extra credit to those that are excluded,
- so there's a little bit of a disconnect.
- JOSILYN REED: I guess maybe I don't really
- understand what you mean by how we're --
- 14 PATRICK: Josilyn, I think what he's saying
- is that by not looking -- and correct me if I'm
- 16 restating incorrectly. By not looking at work or past
- performance done in areas other than Zone One, we're
- not -- we've essentially excluded him because we're
- 19 not gonna be accepting past performance in other areas
- of the country.
- 21 JOSILYN REED: Is that the question?
- 22 MAN: Also, you're giving extra credit for
- work in region, right?
- 24 JOSILYN REED: Correct. So the projects that
- 25 we're asking -- that is a good question. I'm sorry

- for misunderstanding. The projects that we're asking
- for, we're not asking for those projects -- so it's
- 3 like minimum requirements. Those projects can be, you
- 4 know, wherever. But to verify that they are
- 5 projects -- to verify that you can provide coverage in
- 6 that whole, you know, area of the zonal approach, we
- 7 ask for the list, so those are the pass fail
- 8 requirements. And you're right, we are giving
- 9 evaluation -- additional evaluation credit to those
- 10 companies that present, you know, the project that
- 11 they presented if they are in -- I'm sorry, in the
- areas of the Zone One. So you're right, we are giving
- the credit. However, we're not eliminating. You
- know, we're not saying that if you don't have this,
- you're not qualified, so it's just basically how you
- 16 would get scored. You may get higher in a different
- element that another company doesn't have. So we're
- 18 not excluding the small businesses, and we're not
- 19 asking for the projects to be in that particular zone.
- We're trying to figure out -- with the projects, we're
- 21 trying to figure out if you can provide the scope of
- 22 services with the project location and the list of
- 23 services. We're asking that, you know, tell us how
- you can provide, you know, for the zonal approach.
- 25 WOMAN: Marge McCann, Sustainable Facilities

- Management. If the task orders are going to be
- 2 competitive and if they're aimed towards small
- 3 business, what is the justification for requiring a
- 4 small business to have 18 projects in 9 states when
- 5 the competition will be for a specific location?
- 6 JOSILYN REED: So basically we want -- at the
- 7 parent contract level, again, we want to offer a
- 8 comprehensive solution. We don't know at the task
- 9 order level that it may be one service. It could be
- 10 that an agency may want to procure all of these
- 11 services. And so at the parent contract level, we
- 12 want to ensure that we are -- that we have contractors
- that can offer a multitude of services. And so
- 14 like -- and that's why we have the required services
- versus the optional services as well. So again, and
- 16 to kind of take you back from the research phase, you
- know, we sought that from our customers that these
- 18 are -- the services that we are stating as required,
- 19 these are the services that customers are ordering,
- you know, together, and so that's why we kind of
- 21 separated them out.
- 22 WOMAN: I wasn't speaking about the panoply
- of services. I was speaking about the nine states.
- In other words, my company can often all of those
- 25 services, but not in New York. We're not big enough.

- 1 We're still a small business. We would be able to
- 2 compete in the DC, Maryland, Virginia area
- 3 successfully.
- 4 JOSILYN REED: If you could definitely
- 5 respond, we did go out for a RFI to ensure that the
- 6 zone was an appropriate zone. And so if you go ahead
- 7 and respond to the draft RFP with that concern, that
- is definitely something we will take in consideration.
- 9 WOMAN: Thank you.
- 10 JANET SCHWEER: Can I add something to that?
- One of goal of Strategic Sourcing, of course, is to
- 12 get the customers to -- like Walter was saying -- to
- really consider their needs, consider where they can
- 14 find savings. One of those ways is to possibly order
- services from multiple locations. So part of our
- 16 responsibility is to make sure that we're providing a
- vehicle where if they wanted to issue an order that
- 18 covered all the states that they could do that, and
- 19 that's why it's not broken down even further, so we
- are trying to balance a lot of interests. But like
- 21 Josilyn said, definitely send us your comments on
- 22 that.
- 23 PATRICK: It seems like maybe there's a
- little bit of disconnect between the projects that
- 25 we're asking them to provide or the examples we're

- asking them to provide in the different states of Zone
- One as opposed to the past performance examples that
- 3 we're asking them to provide to show that they can
- actually do the work. Can you maybe explain that a
- 5 little bit?
- JOSILYN REED: Sure. So the projects are
- 7 we're looking for three projects per service, and so
- 8 basically I'm looking for three projects that show me
- 9 that you can provide the HVAC maintenance. You can
- 10 provide janitorial.
- 11 MARY SNODDERLY: They can be anywhere.
- 12 JOSILYN REED: Those can be anywhere. Those
- can be anywhere, you know, in the United States.
- 14 These are the projects that you are presenting to show
- us that, you know, you can offer the services
- 16 identified.
- JANET SCHWEER: That's the relevant project
- 18 experience.
- 19 JOSILYN REED: Relevant project experience,
- and so it highlights all the other things that we
- 21 would be looking into the relevant project experience,
- but we're not designating states in that relevant
- project experience. So a separate section is we're
- looking for a list of projects, and these are not
- relevant projects. This is just a general list.

- 1 Basically, if I'm envisioning the list, it's gonna say
- DC, you know, Department of Army, you know, project
- 3 number XYZ 21 whatever, and that is all. We're asking
- for a list of 10 projects to demonstrate -- did I say
- 5 10. I'm sorry. But we're looking for a list two
- 6 projects per -- I apologize -- two projects per state
- for all of the area of Zone One, so we just are trying
- 8 to determine that you have the capability of providing
- 9 coverage for that entire area. And within that, if
- 10 you don't have the projects, we did provide for a
- deviation that you would able to just present us with
- 12 a verbiage on, you know, although I don't have a list
- of projects, I am able to -- you know, my company, you
- 14 know, is able to commute to, you know, this area to
- provide coverage for that.
- So those are the two different, and I think
- where it gets confusing is that we would give
- additional evaluation credit if one of the projects,
- 19 your relevant project experience that you submitted,
- fell within multiple areas of the Zone One, so it's
- 21 not a pass-fail requirement. However, you do get
- 22 additional credit if that happens. Again, it's not a
- 23 pass-fail requirement. It's not saying that you will
- be not rated highly for that. It's just that we would
- give additional credit for that, so I hope that

- 1 explain it.
- 2 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Other questions?
- 3 Yes.
- 4 MAN: Ray Cullen, Hensel Phelps. Question on
- 5 the contractor team arrangement. If -- it indicates
- or seems to stipulate in the draft at the moment that
- 7 experience only from the entity is acceptable, not
- 8 from the prime members. Is there a consideration for
- 9 a waiver of that?
- JANET SCHWEER: That's one of the -- we got a
- similar question like that ahead of time, and we're
- gonna research that a little bit to make sure that our
- language is clear on what we're gonna require and see
- if we need to adjust what's in the draft.
- 15 MAN: Follow up with that. If there was to
- be a contractor team arrangement made specifically for
- BMO where one entity is gonna take care of the O&M
- work that they could provide their experience
- 19 associated with O&M, but there's another team member
- that specifically deals with facility support service,
- 21 that they can go and use that. I think it would be
- 22 maybe in the benefit of the government to consider
- 23 that.
- JANET SCHWEER: I'm not sure. Walter, maybe
- really what we're talking about in ours are joint

```
Page 137
```

- 1 ventures, and teaming arrangements would be -- that's
- 2 something that's used under the multiple awards
- 3 schedules. I don't know that there's necessarily a
- 4 definition of teaming arrangements for something
- 5 outside of that.
- 6 WALTER ECKBRETH: No. In the FAR 9.6 where
- 7 it talks about contractor teaming arrangements, that's
- 8 where we would be here, which is a joint venture. I
- 9 think we've got language in there on existence of
- joint ventures and the past performance of the joint
- venture.
- MAN: You also have reference to
- partnerships. Is it gonna be more than just a joint
- venture, but a legal entity?
- WALTER ECKBRETH: Yes.
- 16 MAN: So whether it's a joint venture, a
- 17 partnership or an LLC?
- 18 WALTER ECKBRETH: Yes.
- 19 MAN: Entity that's already in --
- JOSILYN REED: Yeah.
- MAN: Thank you.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Jerry?
- 23 MAN: Jerry Tate, Rosemark. Can a small
- business use a large business as a subcontractor under
- 25 the small business set-aside.

- 1 MARY SNODDERLY: Yes. Everybody's up here
- 2 shaking their head.
- WALTER ECKBRETH: With the limitations of
- 4 subcontracting.
- 5 MAN: And how would that affect the past
- 6 performance? In other words, would only the small
- business prime be used as past performance or would
- you use the big business that's the subcontractor?
- 9 JOSILYN REED: We would use the prime. So
- 10 yes, we would use the prime contractor.
- 11 MAN: So even though he had a large business
- as a subcontractor, you couldn't use that?
- WALTER ECKBRETH: Nope.
- 14 MARY SNODDERLY: I apologize. We only have
- one mic. Unfortunately, that's all this room had, so
- we're kind of having to do the shuffle up here.
- 17 MAN: Dan McWhorter, Sodexo. So is there a
- minimum dollar amount for task orders, like \$100,000,
- 19 \$200,000?
- JANET SCHWEER: In the draft right now, it
- 21 says Simplified Acquisition Threshold is \$150,000 is
- the minimum. That's one of area that we're looking
- for feedback on 'cause that seems kind of high to us.
- That's kind of our starting point. For facility
- 25 maintenance work, we think that seemed high. There

- might be a lot of agencies that had requirements that
- were significantly less than that. So if you have
- feedback on that, please send that to us on what you
- 4 think is a reasonable minimum order. \$10,000, for
- 5 example.
- 6 MAN: Last question, I promise.
- 7 MARY SNODDERLY: Jerry, that's fine. Please
- 8 ask.
- 9 MAN: What the heck is a self-scoring
- worksheet? What is that?
- 11 JOSILYN REED: That is a process that it was
- originally created or developed in the OASIS. I'm not
- sure if you're familiar with the OASIS Acquisition.
- But basically, it's just a process of verifying how
- 15 you think that you're rated in this element. So, you
- 16 know, it will show a score. It'll say, you know, in
- this element -- you know, basically it's a self-
- 18 examination to make sure that, you know, you're
- 19 offering the -- you know, the most valuable offer when
- you're scoring yourself. So we're giving you the
- 21 opportunity to score yourself and then evaluate it
- 22 against how the government -- so feel free to provide
- feedback on that.
- 24 MARY SNODDERLY: For those not familiar with
- OASIS, it is for professional services. While it is

- considered a Strategic Sourcing, it is not an FSSI.
- 2 And it's an acronym, and I apologize. I don't exactly
- 3 know what the acronym -- I know I've heard it, but I'm
- 4 looking at Walter. He's looking at me, too.
- 5 JANET SCHWEER: One Acquisition Solution for
- 6 Integrated Services.
- 7 MARY SNODDERLY: There we go.
- 8 WALTER ECKBRETH: You win the prize.
- 9 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Other questions?
- JANET SCHWEER: I did want to clarify a
- 11 little bit back on the pricing issue. We have --
- there's kind of two separate prongs to this. At
- parent contract level, we have to get some kind of
- pricing information from you all in order to make our
- evaluation, our price analysis if it's fair and
- 16 reasonable pricing. At the task order level, that
- ordering contracting officer's gonna make a
- determination of whether your pricing that you're
- 19 proposing for whatever specific buildings or sites
- that they have is reasonable, too. So we have to come
- 21 up with something for our purposes to see if your
- 22 pricing is generally fair and reasonable. At the task
- order level, they may ask for lump sum pricing, and
- they're gonna have different or specific buildings in
- 25 there that may ask for pricing by the building. They

- 1 may ask for a square foot price. Whatever pricing
- we've -- you've proposed at the parent contract level,
- 3 you would use to come up with that price. And again,
- you have comments on that, suggestions on that, please
- 5 send them in to us.
- 6 MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Any last questions?
- 7 MAN: Ed Neilan. Is the expectation that
- 8 this takes off and gets, you know, easily rolled
- 9 out -- is this intended to take the place of Scheduled
- 10 Pricing, GSA Advantage, Ebuy, that?
- 11 MARY SNODDERLY: Well, now keep in mind when
- 12 you say Ebuy, I suppose it could be part of Ebuy. I
- mean, that's not just specifically schedules, so it
- 14 could be part of that. But is it meant to replace
- 15 schedules? We'll kind of address that. No. I mean,
- we talked about the scope is different
- for particularly 03FAC, which is the main schedule
- that would fall under this purview. The scope is
- 19 different. There's a lot of things that are
- 20 different.
- 21 JOSILYN REED: Just to piggyback on that.
- 22 Mainly one thing that -- you know, the big difference.
- 23 FAR Part 8, you know, governs the schedule, and so
- there are limitations on FAR Part 8. We've heard from
- our customers that FAR Part 8, you know, offers too

- 1 many limitations. This is why -- you know, this is
- another, you know, variation of the vehicle. It's
- 3 because this is a FAR Part 15 procedure.
- 4 MAN: 16.
- 5 JOSILYN REED: Ordering procedures. FAR Part
- 6 15 negotiations. Are you gonna quiz me on the FAR
- 7 later?
- 8 PATRICK: Another big difference -- and
- 9 Walter, maybe you can address this a little bit
- 10 more -- is the commitments for FSSI versus schedules.
- 11 WALTER ECKBRETH: Sure. So the intent with
- 12 the Strategic Sourcing Initiatives, as we've talked
- about, we bring the agencies together to develop a
- 14 solution. And then once the agencies have identified
- and created that solution, we then ask the agencies.
- 16 OMB asks the agencies to commit, to sign up to run
- some business through them to make them of value. Of
- course, you know, the commitment still is dependent
- 19 upon the awarded contracts being good contracts with
- good pricing and good service and good contractors.
- 21 But that's a very big, big difference to the old GSA
- 22 schedule where come one, come all, everybody gets
- their hunting license and then you gotta go out and
- figure out where the field is and figure out what
- animal you're hunting and what kind ammo you use and

- all that stuff. Hopefully, it's more like shooting
- 2 fish in a barrel.
- MARY SNODDERLY: Okay. Questions? We've had
- 4 some great feedback. We appreciate the questions.
- 5 Okay.
- 6 Eric, if you could go to the very last slide,
- 7 we'll get there. I promise. There we go. This
- 8 e-mail address up here, this is the best e-mail
- 9 address to contact us. Our entire team has access to
- this. That way, if one of us happens to be out of the
- office, somebody else would be able to take a look at
- it and to be able to address your question. This is
- also the address I believe that Josilyn used in the
- draft RFP, so any comments should come in to this
- e-mail address.
- Now, let me just kind of in closing tell you
- 17 that we do have the registration list. And if your
- 18 e-mail is on there, which we asked for in the
- 19 registration process, I will e-mail out the slides
- that we used today and I will also e-mail out this
- 21 address, e-mail address again, and then I will e-mail
- 22 out the web link to our Interact site, just in case
- you don't have that. Hopefully, you are all on it.
- If nothing else, thank you very much for
- 25 coming. Thank you very much for the feedback, and we

```
Page 144
        look forward to hearing more from you.
 1
 2
             (The meeting was concluded at 12:14 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 145
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Vicki Nunan, Court Reporter, certify that the
4	following is a true and accurate transcript of the
5	foregoing meeting.
6	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor
7	counsel for, not related to nor employed by any of the
8	parties to the action in which this meeting was taken;
9	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any
10	attorney or counsel employed in this, nor am I financially
11	interested in this action.
12	
13	
14	VICKI NUNAN
15	Court Reporter and Notary Public
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	